

Risk Indicator To Estimate The Potential In Business

Anis Aniza Abdul Hadi¹, Puspa Liza Ghazali², Nik Hazimi Mohamad Foziah³, Izzat Ismail⁴
and Eni Noreni Mohamad Zain⁵

^{1,2,3,4,5}Faculty of Business and Management, Universiti Sultan Zainal Abidin, 21300 Kuala Nerus, Terengganu, Malaysia

Email: ¹anisaaniza@gmail.com, ²puspaliza@unisza.edu.my, ³nikhazimi@unisza.edu.my, ⁴izzatismail@unisza.edu.my, ⁵noreni@umk.edu.my

 <https://doi.org/10.54099/aijb.v1i2.127>

ARTICLE INFO



Article history:

Received: 20 May 2022

Revised: 04 June 2022

Accepted: 13 July 2022

Keywords: Risk Indicator, Business, Risk, Potential In Business.

ABSTRACT

Purpose – This paper is to review the comparison of risk indicator in order to estimate the potential in business. While solving the challenges of budgetary wealth distribution in a work plan, the judgement might consider of not just the profitability and cost of the project, and perhaps its sustainable development.

Methodology/approach – Our main contribution to this literature is to make the comparison of the results in previous research study for risk of indicator.

Findings – The globe is facing severe ecological and sustainability difficulties as a result of the country's rapid urbanisation, depletion of natural resources, and the fight against climate change. As a result, there is a lot of hope in the notion of company improvement. The index was built utilising multi-criteria decision-making techniques. A development's synthesis impact assessment, which incorporates all of these characteristics, may indeed be helpful in analyzing the long-term viability of a company task and make judgments about construction management diversification and economic capital allocation.

Novelty/value – While solving the challenges of budgetary wealth distribution in a work plan, the judgement might consider of not just the profitability and cost of the project, and perhaps its sustainable development. This study's insight should assist enterprises to actualize potential in business, which may also contribute to the long-term growth of organisations and so strengthen their competitive strength.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International License.

INTRODUCTION

Analyzing ambiguity is inherently challenging, especially throughout moment and nations in order for studies to evaluate concentrations and economic performance Ahir, H., Bloom, N., & Furceri, D. (2022). Unpredictability is a vague sense that represents customers', management', and authorities' concern about occurrences. However it is a wide notion just because it pertains to macroeconomic occurrences such as gross domestic product (GDP) while also small factors such as business rates of growth along with many other occurrences such as campaigns, conflicts, and global warming. Several

of the most critical concerns confronting society these days is the potential for business. Numerous businesses include the notion of resilience in their strategic plan and marketing. It is also among the least preferred areas of study for academics. Increasingly, the concept of sustainable development has been included into construction projects. There in realm of managing projects, the sustainable design is making inroads. Dobrovolskienė, N., & Tamošiūnienė, R. (2015). Even though many experts agree that sustainable development should be incorporated into strategy implementation, the incorporation of liveability, and particularly the measurement of the durability of a marketing plan, remains a complicated and unresolved topic. As a result, hence the need to create a technology that allows measuring the endurance of a company undertaking. Despite all of these difficulties, it is therefore not unexpected that academics traditionally leaned on using the index to quantify unpredictability. The index reflects unpredictability connected to changes that have taken place, mostly in the near future (e.g., referendum lack of certainty) and then in the lengthy period. Our main contribution to this literature is to make the comparison of the results in the important of risk indicator to estimate the potential in business.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The first and most widely used risk concept is deviation. Practical safety were treated as random variables, and it was claimed that the anticipated value of an asset returns may be viewed as a representation of the investment return, with variation representing the value of the stock. The premise is that the higher the variance from the average profit, the less probable it is that investors will get the average value, and hence the risky the strategy. As a result, when making investments, conservative investors must first involve that perhaps the asset allocation be protected sufficiently, i.e., the variability price of the shares be below or exactly equivalent to a specified tolerable separate basis, just choose the protected assets with the greatest possible return from among safe financials Huang, X. (2012). The term "variation in the range of conceivable distribution network consequences, their probability, and associated beliefs concerning" is one of the most often utilized by numerous the academic researchers. The monitoring and assessment of risks are essential components of effective administration and interference control Alora, A., & Barua, M. K. (2020).

Financial reporting beta as a component of total risk in a small and medium-sized enterprise According to the economic literature, the valuation on such an asset (R_j) is determined by an uncertainty return that rewards the client again for postponement in usage and the loss in buying power (R_f), as well as a premium tied to the asset's corporate and economic risks. This consumer buying behavior is influenced by the investor's risk tolerance (β_j), which is multiplied by the value of every dollar of investment ($R_m - R_f$). Such a well financial relationship, as represented by the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), may be stated as:

$$R_j = R_f + \beta_j (R_m - R_f)$$

The risk-free rate (R_f) is approximated from of the exchange on stock perfectly acceptable in the industry, including the come back on governmental assets with terms equal to the life of the asset, whereas the price from each unit of investment is a component of the expansion as between recompense on a stock market or one thought up of shareholdings that portray the entire economy (R_m) and also the uncertainty percentage. When business stocks are exchanged on the stock market, the risk factor (β_j) that quantifies risk premium is reasonably straightforward to estimate according to relevant theories, but this is not the case when private company interests are exchanged. In that situation, we can estimate it using one of two methods. People might use securities trading data from a business that is comparable towards the business under consideration to compute its beta coefficient.

A comparison between some of the price on this share as well as the profits on a stock market would allow an estimated beta coefficient to be determined. A remedy for the indebtedness discrepancy at all between firm and the large firm might be made if necessary. Nonetheless, one of the

method's disadvantages is the challenge in locating a business that is truly comparable to the SME being analysed. Another method for determining this factor is to use accounting data released on a regular basis by businesses. The resulting coefficient is the bookkeeping beta. The benefit of this technique is that it encompasses directly knowledge regarding the company, and therefore its intrinsic risk characteristics, whereas the prior method does not.

There are so many specific parts of risk in SMEs that there appears to be no universally recognised definition of risk in the research; there's no consensus on how to assess it. Investigators' understanding of risk varies depending on the goal sought, the intended use, and the kind of organisation researched. This might explain why risk appraisal models are frequently insufficient when attempting to predict a company's entire risk. Since of their variability and the difficulties in distinguishing material from management, defining the factors of total risk in a SME environment is very challenging. Entrepreneurs frequently have underlying aims that can be very changeable and, at sometimes, distinct, influencing their techniques and making SMEs difficult to evaluate. The literature provides a variety of risk assessment tools that accommodate SME heterogeneity by assuming differing viewpoints, such as that of borrowers and management (St-Pierre, 2004; Aubert and Bernard, 2004).

Considering the perspective employed, these models detect risk aspects and assign them to basic characteristics. Having properly understand the risks that challenge the SME, it is necessary to take a methodical process and analyse the perspectives of many writers, which are frequently complimentary, as shown in the following. The banker's assessment of the entire risk of the borrower's credit is a difficult undertaking that is dependent on a number of elements. The entire SME risk may be classified into two categories: business risk (risk of the surroundings, lack of basic knowledge and resources, impacted by industry and market circumstances, the firm's origins, size, and management group preparedness); and risk involved.

SMEs face three forms of risk: operational risk (level of management experience, succession planning, level of organization that aims); economics risk (tendency of the GDP, expected return of the sector); and risk involved. The recognition of as successfully completing a classification scheme as plausible and the consolidation of this info into a timetable of risks to which a company must come to terms: strategic risks (stupid marketing strategy, etc.), investment risk (cash flow problems, etc.), moral hazard (layout errors, sabotage, etc.), vulnerabilities (troubles with suppliers, disregard for current law, etc.), and impacts associated (equipment problems, etc.). A uncertainty premium paid to SMEs is determined by five parameters, each of which has at least two risk aspects. The profit risk factor is made up of the level, fluctuation, and rate of increase of sales. The quantity of fixed exploitation expenses is connected to the operating risk factor. The credit risks component is related to the current liabilities, the capacity for borrowing, and the debt structure. The reporting and monitoring risk factor is related to capital contributed in the leadership team, organisational knowledge, and the existing controls. Furthermore, the operational risk factor takes into account the firm's status in comparison to its suppliers, clients, entire market opponents, and the danger of input data and replacements.

According to St-Pierre (2004), the overall risk of the organisation is dependent on the management or operating risk, the finance risk, and the venture creation risk. Vulnerability exists (lack of management knowledge and human resources, insufficiency of management resources, etc.), economic exposure as well as communications technology risk are all components of operational risks. The costs and risks is primarily connected to financial leverage, the identity and location of both the banking partners, the management of funds (redemption deadlines and restriction provisions), and the present owners' ability for public investment. Ultimately, the economic risk is largely determined

by the author's temperament, cautiousness, and personal goals for the creation and structure of his firm. J. StPierre and M. Bahri (2006).

Financial Supply Chain Risks

The component of achieving sustainability that has frequently been overlooked is the money is moving in the network, which are critical to the company's productivity (Wuttke et al., 2013). Fiscally shaky partners, such as providers, can also have a negative influence on the entire production process (Wagner and Neshat, 2012). To solve these problems, businesses all across the world have lately began to prioritise this sector. Private equity hazards include unreliable and unexpected revenues, rising inflationary market prices, poor work resource balances, and complication in produce the necessary.

Demand-Side Risks

Risks associated with customer concerns in the production process include, among other things, volatility spillover impacts, inaccuracies in estimates, and postponed delivery to the consumer (Chen, 2018; Wagner and Bode, 2006; Yang and Li, 2010; Youssef, 2017).

Supply-Side Risks

Manufacturers are important stakeholders inside any production process. Disparity, postponed feedstock delivery, monetary obligations by the firm to sources, unable supply fair pricing, and so on are common concerns faced by enterprises worldwide on the producer side (Wagner and Bode, 2006; Youssef, 2017).

Process Risks

The systematic risk segment is concerned with vulnerabilities that arise as a result of organization's internal disturbances. Difficulties, a scarcity of competent workers, factory labour strikes, and technical malfunctions are all frequent dangers in this area (Ali and Shukran, 2016; Chen, 2018; Kumar Pradhan and Routroy, 2014; Wilson, 2007).

Environmental Risks

The associated risk list includes possible threats that are generally outside a reach of the law. Although the likelihood of interruptions after this category is smaller than with other forms of risk, these delays still have an adverse influence on such a production process. Risks stemming from legal and regulatory rules, civil unrest, devastating hazards (for example, drought, flood, tsunami, etc.), and terrorism are among the most prevalent various impacts faced by businesses worldwide (Cagliano et al., 2012; Samvediet al., 2013; Tummala and Schoenherr, 2011; Youssef, 2017)

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Discussion presents each of the findings compared to relevant theories or previous studies, actual facts, comments, and reasonable analysis from researchers.

Table 1. The Risk Indicator In The Research

Authors	Findings	Gaps
----------------	-----------------	-------------



Chaudhuri et al. (2018)	The impact of strategy implementation on production flexibility is immediate.	This authors made review on more criteria of manufacturing flexibility
Truong and Hara (2018)	Operational risks have a greater impact on organized business than on service organisations.	This authors made review on more criteria of manufacturing firms.
Chen (2018)	Internal disturbances pose risks.	This authors made review on more criteria of internal disruptions
Venkatesh et al. (2015), Chand et al. (2017)	Finance risks developing as a result of production issues.	This authors made review on more criteria of finance risks.
Wagner and Bode (2006), Yang and Li (2010), Tummala and Schoenherr (2011), Qianlei (2012)	Risks associated with the company's consumption features	This authors made review on more criteria of demand aspects of the product.

CONCLUSION

This study has explored the evaluation of company potential. Concerning market return as estimates, the study suggested a risk index as an additional risk measuring method and created a

necessarily imply index approach. For particular, the subject's precise features have indeed been given. The following equations demonstrated the implementation of the suggested model and demonstrated that the higher the risk threshold, the bigger the projected gain. Future research might use several scholarly articles to construct the model. Furthermore, the latest research is focused on a developing economy; nonetheless, company in emerging economies may differ significantly from procurement in affluent countries. As we become more particularly concerned about the risk indicator for future company, entrepreneurs should have a backup procedure in place, such as coverage or life assurance coverage (Ghazali et. al, 2019a, 2019b, 2017, 2012a, 2012b & 2012c).

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors acknowledge the financial and technical support for this project provided by the Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia under the grant FRGS/1/2020/STG06/UNISZA/02/1/RR-373.

REFERENCES

- Ali, I. and Shukran, K. (2016). Managing Supply Chain Risks And Vulnerabilities Through Collaboration: Present And Future Scope. *The Journal of Developing Areas*, Vol. 50 No. 5, pp. 335-342.
- Ahir, H., Bloom, N., & Furceri, D. (2022). The world uncertainty index (No. w29763). *National Bureau of Economic Research*.
- Alora, A., & Barua, M. K. (2020). Development of A Supply Chain Risk Index For Manufacturing Supply Chains. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*.
- Cagliano, A. C., De Marco, A., Grimaldi, S. and Rafele, C. (2012). An Integrated Approach To Supply Chain Risk Analysis. *Journal of Risk Research*, Vol. 15 No. 7, pp. 817-840.
- Chaudhuri, A., Boer, H. and Taran, Y. (2018). Supply Chain Integration, Risk Management And Manufacturing Flexibility. *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, Vol. 38 No. 3, pp. 690-712.
- Chen, H.L. (2018). Supply Chain Risk's Impact On Corporate Financial Performance. *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, Vol. 38 No. 3, pp. 713-731.
- Dobrovolskienė, N., & Tamošiūnienė, R. (2015). An Index To Measure Sustainability Of A Business Project In The Construction Industry: Lithuanian Case. *Sustainability*, 8(1), 14.
- Ghazali, P., Ghazali, B., Mamat, M., Omar, L., Hazimi, N., Mohammed Foziah, N.H., Guci, D., Abdullah, Y., Emmiey, N., Sazali, S., & Java, W. (2017). Medical Integration Model of FamilyTakaful For Blue Collar. *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 101, 1197–1205. <https://doi.org/10.17654/MS101061197>
- Ghazali, P., Mohd, I., Ahmad, W.M.A.W., & Mamat, M. (2012b). Integration Model Of Education Plan Takaful: A Case Study For Terengganu, Kelantan And Perlis, States In Malaysia. *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 65(1), 97-117
- Ghazali, P.L., Foziah, H., Mamat, M., Razak, R.A., Omar, L., Afthanorhan, A., & Wan Daud, W.M.N. (2019b). Mathematical Concept in Integration Model of Education Plan Takaful. *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering*, 7(5), 594-599.



- Ghazali, P.L., Mohd, I., Ahmad, W.M.A.W., & Mamat, M. (2012a). Implementation Of Integration Model For All. *Journal of Applied Sciences Research*, 8(3), 1802-1812.
- Ghazali, P.L., Mohd, I., Ahmad, W.M.A.W., & Mamat, M. (2012c). Comparison Of Premium Life Tables Between Existing Model And Integration Model In Family Takaful. *Journal of Applied Sciences Research*, 8(7), 3754-3762.
- Ghazali, P.L., Syed Jaaffar, S.A., Mohammed Foziah, N.H., A Tambi, A.M., Md Nawli, F.A., Mamat, M., Mohammad, S.B., Wan Daud, W.M.N., & Mahmud, M.S. (2019a). The Construction of a New Mathematical Model for Islamic Home Financing. *Asian Academy of Management Journal*, 24(Supp.1), 33-41. <https://doi.org/10.21315/aamj2019.24.s1.3>
- Huang, X. (2012). A Risk Index Model For Portfolio Selection With Returns Subject To Experts' Estimations. *Fuzzy Optimization and Decision Making*, 11(4), 451-463.
- Kumar Pradhan, S. and Routroy, S. (2014). Analyzing The Supply Chain Risk Issues For An Indian Manufacturing Company. *Journal of Advances in Management Research*, Vol. 11 No. 2, pp. 144-162.
- Protopappa-Sieke, M. and Seifert, R.W. (2010). Interrelating Operational and Financial Performance Measurements In Inventory Control. *European Journal of Operational Research*, Vol. 204 No. 3, pp. 439-448.
- Samvedi, A., Jain, V. and Chan, F.T. (2013). Quantifying Risks In A Supply Chain Through Integration Of Fuzzy AHP and Fuzzy TOPSIS. *International Journal of Production Research*, Vol. 51 No. 8, pp. 2433-2442.
- St- Pierre, J., & Bahri, M. (2006). The Use Of The Accounting Beta As An Overall Risk Indicator For Unlisted Companies. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*.
- Truong, H.Q. and Hara, Y. (2018). Supply Chain Risk Management: Manufacturing-And Service Oriented Firms. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, Vol. 29 No. 2, pp. 218-239
- Tummala, R. and Schoenherr, T. (2011). Assessing And Managing Risks Using The Supply Chain Risk Management Process (SCRMP). *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, Vol. 16 No. 6, pp. 474-483.
- Tummala, R. and Schoenherr, T. (2011). Assessing And Managing Risks Using The Supply Chain Risk Management Process (SCRMP). *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, Vol. 16 No. 6, pp. 474-483.
- Venkatesh, V.G., Rathi, S. and Patwa, S. (2015). Analysis On Supply Chain Risks In Indian Apparel Retail Chains And Proposal Of Risk Prioritization Model Using Interpretive Structural Modeling. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, Vol. 26, pp. 153-167.
- Wagner, S.M. and Bode, C. (2006). An Empirical Investigation Into Supply Chain Vulnerability. *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*, Vol. 12 No. 6, pp. 301-312.
- Wagner, S.M. and Neshat, N. (2012). A Comparison Of Supply Chain Vulnerability Indices For Different Categories Of Firms. *International Journal of Production Research*, Vol. 50 No. 11, pp. 2877-2891.

- Wilson, M.C. (2007). The Impact Of Transportation Disruptions On Supply Chain Performance. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, Vol. 43 No. 4, pp. 295-320
- Wuttke, D.A., Blome, C. and Henke, M. (2013). Focusing The Financial Flow Of Supply Chains: An Empirical Investigation Of Financial Supply Chain Management. *International Journal of Production Economics*, Vol. 145 No. 2, pp. 773-789.
- Yang, Z.K. and Li, J. (2010). Assessment of Green Supply Chain Risk Based On Circular Economy. *Industrial Engineering and Engineering Management (IE&EM), 2010 IEEE 17th International Conference on, IEEE*, pp. 1276-1280.
- Youssef, M.M. (2017). Analysis Of Risk Factors And Events Linked To The Supply Chain: Case Of Automotive Sector In Morocco. *Journal of Logistics Management*, Vol. 6 No. 2, pp. 41-51.