

Community Participation Program in Forest and Land Rehabilitation in the Batutegi Forest Management Unit

Leo Muhammad Widodo¹, Rahmat Safe'i¹*, Gunardi Djoko Winarno¹, and Slamet Budi Yuwono¹

¹Jurusan Kehutanan, Fakultas Pertanian, Universitas Lampung, 35141

Abstract. Restoration of critical land so that its products can increase and contribute to the community's welfare is a special challenge at this time. One of the efforts made by the government in overcoming this problem is by carrying out Forest and Land Rehabilitation activities by involving farmers as local workers. This study analyses community participation in forest and land rehabilitation programs in Datar Lebuay Village, Air Naningan District, Tanggamus Regency. The research was conducted in June 2021. Data collection was carried out through interviews, observation, and documentation techniques. Respondents were determined using the Slovin formula with an error limit of 10%. The interview process uses a proportional simple random sampling technique. The data obtained were then analyzed descriptively quantitatively using a Likert scale. The results showed that there was several activities rehabilitation forest, namely: the planning subsystem with field technical design activities had a final value of 3, the implementation subsystem with a seedling, planting, and plant maintenance activities, with each final value of 3, except for plants which had a final value of 4 Monitoring and evaluation subsystem with monitoring and evaluation activities, with a final score of 3. The Forest and Land Rehabilitation (FLR) activities with community participation levels have Ordinary criteria (B). This shows that forest rehabilitation activities involving farmers are implemented correctly so that the land on which farmers are involved in rehabilitation activities is expected to be more sustainable.

Keyword: Assessment, Critical Land, Maintenance, Nursery, Planting, Rehabilitation

Received 12 October 2021 | Revised 17 July 2022 | Accepted 20 July 2022

1 Introduction

Forest deterioration and the loss of many vital areas have a variety of negative consequences, necessitating recovery measures [2]. Current worldwide challenges, such as global climate change, forest fires, floods, and population growth are linked to the condition and status of forests [19]. KPH Batutegi is one of the Lampung Province's KPH units, established in 2010 and has a management area of 58,162 hectares. The vegetation cover is dominated by non-forest

^{*}Corresponding author at: Jurusan Kehutanan, Fakultas Pertanian, Universitas Lampung, Bandar Lampung, 35141, Indonesia

E-mail address: rahmat.safei@fp.unila.ac.id

vegetation with 76 %, according to the results of landscape image interpretation in 2010. This is in response to the physical conditions in the field, which are dominated by farmer's fields, one of which is the coffee tree, and are undergoing a land problem.

Efforts to improve crisis land are difficult to achieve in the short term for things to return to normal, but products that can increase the welfare of communities around the forest will be attained [13]. The government's attempts to overcome critical land and extend important land, including land conservation and reforestation, are described in [9]. Forest and Land Rehabilitation (FLR) is one of the government's programs. FLR Activities are carried out on two types of land, namely state-owned and privately held [24]. FLR is carried out in Datar Lebuay Village on state-owned land in a cultivated area known as a communal forest.

According to [18], community member engagement is described as community members' involvement in development, which includes developing and implementing (implementing) development programs/projects in local areas. Communal participation in the FLR program, according to [14], is an active community effort reflected in the community's behaviour. Internal and external pressures will influence people's behaviour, causing the group to become dynamic, according to [29]. This strength will allow farmer groups to participate in as many activities as possible to meet the FLR program's objectives [6]. After all stages of forest management have been completed, monitoring or assessment is carried out to track the progress of forest management and ensure that undesirable outcomes do not occur. An evaluation aims to determine the efficacy of the forest management measures implemented [21]. To examine community engagement in forest and land rehabilitation initiatives, research on community participation in the FLR program in Datar Lebuay Village, Air Naningan District, Tanggamus Regency is required. The findings of this study are predicted to impact the success of land and forest rehabilitation projects, including farmers.

2 Research Method

This study took place in June 2021 at the Mandiri Lestari Farmers Group Association (Gapoktan) in the Protected Forest region of KPH Batutegi, Datar Lebuay Village, Air Naningan District, Tanggamus Regency, Lampung Province (Figure 1).



Figure 1 The research location is in the forest area of KPH Batutegi

Stationery, camera, laptop, recording device/mobile phone, zinc, compass, permanent marker, roll meter (50 m), tape meter (1.5 m), and Global Positioning System (GPS) were utilized in this study. The forest area of KPH Batutegi and Gapoktan Mandiri Lestari in Datar Lebuay Village, Air Naningan District, Tanggamus Regency, Lampung Province, which is part of the Forest and Land Rehabilitation program, is the subject of this study.

2.1 Farmer Characteristics

The descriptive quantitative method was employed in this study. The quantitative descriptive method was utilized to collect primary data from respondents via interviews. This study focuses on the forest area of KPH Batutegi and the farmers who maintain the land in the Gapoktan Mandiri Lestari area. The population of farmers in the Mandiri Lestari Gapoktan is 235 people, according to the results of a research survey contained in the Gapoktan Membership Book (having received IUPPHkm).

The Slovin Formula [26] was used, with a 10 % point error limit and a total sample size of 70 people. Interviews were done directly with respondents selected using a questionnaire and the proportional simple random sample technique. Interviews were conducted to acquire external data and determine its relationship with plant success. The data is then examined using the Likert Scale algorithm [25].

The Likert scale calculation formula uses five alternative answers.

$$NL = \Sigma(n_1 \times 1) + (n_2 \times 2) + (n_3 \times 3) + (n_4 \times 4) + (n_5 \times 5)$$
(1)

Description: NL : Likert scale scoring value

n : Number of answer scores (alternative Likert score 1 to 5).

The formula for calculating the average of each aspect of the question

$$Q = \frac{NL}{x}$$
(2)

Description: Q : average of each aspect of the question

NL : Likert scale scoring value

x : number of respondent samples

The formula for the final value of each aspect.

$$NA = \frac{Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3 + Q_4 + Q_5}{\text{Skala Likert}}$$
(3)

Description: NA : Final score

Q : The average of each aspect of the question (Likert uses a scale of 5).

The five criteria for the Likert scale score to answer the questions presented in the form of a questionnaire are as follows:

Score 1 for strongly disagree (STS).

Score 2 for the answer disagree (TS).

Score 3 for the usual answer (B).

Score 4 for the answer agree (S).

Score 5 for the answer strongly agree (SS).

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Farmer Identity

Farmers of productive age are primarily responsible for land management tasks such as weeding, fertilizing, caring for plants on their land and pruning tree limbs. According to [27], farmers of productive age are still capable of working. Furthermore, [4] stated that the age and expertise of the farmer have an impact on the yield and productivity of a plant. The higher the wealth of farmers, the larger the area of land management and the more trees or plants that produce [15].

No	Characte	ristics	Number of Respondents (People)	Presentation (%)	
	Farmer'	0-16 Year	0	0	
1	s Age	17-64 Year	69	98.6	
	(Year)	>64 Year	1	1.4	
	Total		70	100	
		Elementary School	12	17.1	
	Farmer	Junior High School	20	28.6	
2	Educati	Senior High School	24	34.3	
	on	Vocational School/ Islamic Senior High School	14	20	
	Total		70	100	
3	A #20	<0.5 Ha	0	0	
	(Ha)	0.5-2 Ha	21	30	
		>2 Ha	49	70	
	Total		70	100	

 Table 1
 Farmer Characteristics

Source: field data for 2021.

Table 1 shows that farmers in the Mandiri Lestari Gapoktan have a high school education level, with 34.3 % having completed it. As a result, farmers are well-versed in land management. One aspect that determines respondents' ability to receive, integrate, and apply technology, information, and knowledge in the context of good land management is their educational level [8]. This is in line with [7], which claims that people with little education are less open to capturing and trying new ideas, making adoption and innovation more difficult to implement.

The respondents in this study were of a wide range of ages. According to [22], the diversity of age groups is a beneficial composition of society for cooperating to conserve the environment. Farmers in the Mandiri Lestari Gapoktan are dominated by 17 to 64, who account for 98.6% of all farmers. This demonstrates that most farmers who participate in Forest and Land Rehabilitation initiatives are of productive age. Three determining variables govern participation's growth and development: opportunity, aptitude, and willingness. These three factors are predictors of community participation success. It is the participation of the farmer group members in this circumstance [10].

Farmers hold land ranging from 0.5 hectares to more than 2 hectares per individual. Farmers with land with an area of 0.5-2 ha account for 21 people (30% of the total). In contrast, farmers with land larger than 2 ha account for 49 people (70% of the total). Farmers' land ownership significantly impacts the planting process during FLR activities, starting with the number of seeds they get [23]. According to [29], the disparity in land cultivated by the local people was due to land that their parents had previously possessed. People still regard this land as a family heirloom today.

3.2 Community Participation

According to [5], participation is community participation in identifying problems and potentials in the community, selecting and making decisions about alternative solutions to deal with problems. Table 2 shows community participation in the FLR program in Datar Lebuay Village, Gapoktan Mandiri Lestari's operating area.

Planning Subsystem Technical design										
Perception										
Question	Assessment					Total	Value	Average	Final score	
-	1	2	3	4	5			C		
1	22	1	9	21	17	70	220	3		
2	25	2	10	16	17	70	208	3		
3	18	1	11	14	26	70	239	3	3	
4	15	1	8	18	28	70	253	4		
5	14	4	5	17	30	70	255	4		
Implementation Subsystem										
					_	Question	-			
		Pe	rcept	ion						
Question	Assessment					Total	Value	Average	Final score	
	1	2	3	4	5					
1	32	1	9	14	14	70	187	3		
2	31	1	13	12	13	70	185	3		
3	27	2	10	15	16	70	201	3	2	
4	30	2	9	14	15	70	192	3	3	
5	15	1	12	16	26	70	247	4		
6	25	1	6	14	24	70	221	3		

Table 2	Community	Partici	pation	Rate

Source: field data for 2021.

 Table 3
 Advanced (Community Participation Rate)

						Planting			
		Pe	rcept	ion					
Question	Assessment					Total	Value	Average	Final score
	1	2	3	4	5				
1	18	1	4	18	29	70	249	4	
2	12	2	4	18	34	70	270	4	
3	11	1	8	18	32	70	269	4	4
4	12	4	7	22	25	70	254	4	4
5	20	2	15	15	18	70	219	3	
6	8	0	0	4	58	70	314	4	
						Maintenance			
		Pe	rcept	ion					
Question		Ass	Assessment			Total	Value	Average	Final score
	1	2	3	4	5				
1	13	0	11	22	24	70	254	4	
2	15	1	18	16	20	70	235	3	
3	25	1	14	11	19	70	208	3	
4	13	2	7	20	28	70	258	4	3
5	26	1	10	16	17	70	207	3	
6	15	3	9	21	22	70	242	3	
7	15	1	10	18	26	70	249	4	

Monitoring and Evaluation Subsystem											
Supervision											
		Pe	rcept	ion							
Question	Assessment					Total	Value	Average	Final score		
	1	2	3	4	5						
1	32	2	5	15	16	70	191	3			
2	27	1	7	14	21	70	211	3	3		
3	22	1	12	19	16	70	216	3			
4	20	1	13	10	26	70	231	3			
						Evaluation					
		Pe	rcept	ion							
Question	Assessment					Total	Value	Average	Final score		
	1	2	3	4	5						
1	26	3	12	13	16	70	200	3			
2	30	1	8	16	15	70	195	3	2		
3	15	0	16	16	23	70	242	3	3		
4	16	1	8	10	35	70	257	4			

Source: field data for 2021.

Several variables analyzed from the planning, implementation, evaluation, and enjoyment of the results can determine the success or failure of community engagement [3]. Residents' participation in meetings and counselling prior to planting activities and their activeness in presenting various suggestions and queries in meetings are used to assess this planning activity [10]. If the socialization from a Watershed Management Center (BPDAS) is related to rehabilitation, the planning stage of the activity is judged appropriate. The community's interest is relatively high, as seen by the many community members who attend and comment on the government-run program [20],[28].

Forest and land rehabilitation aims to repair, maintain and improve forest and land functions to preserve carrying capacity, productivity, and role in life support systems [1]. Community participation is apparent in planting activities at the implementation stage, both for individuals and groups [16]. The indicators employed in this implementation include the frequency with which activities are implemented, activity initiatives, and willingness to succeed. It can also be regarded as successful at the implementation stage because the community's enthusiasm remains strong from the beginning of socialization to the end [11],[17].

According to Table 2, the process of implementing FLR activities is divided into three stages: the planning subsystem, which includes activities such as preparing a technical field design with five questions and a final score of 3, the implementation subsystem, which includes activities such as seeding, planting, and maintaining plants in the field, with the amount of each question, and maintenance has seven questions and a final score of 3, and the nursery, which includes plants, has a final score of 3. Table 3 shows how to monitor planting operations with the monitoring and evaluation subsystem with monitoring and assessment activities, with four questions each with a final score of 3. Each value has its own set of criteria, with an average final score of 3 for each activity, as determined by the community's participation rate in this

FLR activity, namely Ordinary (B). Farmers did not comprehend how the forest rehabilitation efforts worked, and the number of farmers who participated was tiny. Government agencies should conduct counselling aimed at Gapoktan or farmer groups to accommodate farmers' aspirations. So that farmers can determine more actions to understand how forest rehabilitation activities are carried out and what is required so that farmers are aware of the steps taken to carry out forest rehabilitation activities with the help of the government.

4 Conclusion

The age ranges of the respondents in this survey are relatively diverse, indicating that society is well-composed to collaborate in environmental preservation. However, not everyone in the community took part in the government-sponsored efforts to reclaim important land. Gapoktan followed as local employees to show the government that the community around the forest approves of FLR activities. The results were gathered and assessed using the Likert Scale method, yielding a final value of 3, indicating that community participation in FLR activities meets the Ordinary criterion (B).

REFERENCES

- Anonim. Completion Report Rehabilitation of Degraded Forest Land Involving Local Commuties in West Java Indonesia. ITTO Project TD 271/04 Rev. 3 (F). Forestry Service of Ciamis Distric Ciamis, west java, Indonesia. Ciamis. 2008.
- [2] Brown, H. G. Teaching by Principles Interactive Approach to Language Pedagogy. Book. New York. San Francisco State University. 2004.
- [3] Erwiantono. Kajian Tingkat Partisipasi Masyarakat Dalam Pengelolaan Ekosistem Mangrove di Kawasan Teluk Pangpang Banyuwangi, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 47-50. 2006.
- [4] Hartatri, F., Neilson, J., Arifin, B., and Fujita, Y. Livelihood Strategies of Smallholder Coffee Farmers in South Sulawesi and East Nusa Tenggara (Flores). in: *Proceedings for the 23rd International Conference on Coffee Science*. pp. 1091– 1094, 2010.
- [5] Khadka, C., Hujala, T., Wolfslehner, B., Vacik, H. "Problem structuring in participatory forest planning," *Forest policy and economics*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 1-11. 2013.
- [6] Mamuko, Frida., H. Walangintan., W. Tilaar. "Persepsi dan Partisipasi Masyarakat Dalam Upaya Rehabilitasi Hutan dan Lahan di Kabupaten Bolaang Mongondow Timur." *Jurnal Eugenia*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 80-91. 2016.
- [7] Maramba, U. "Pengaruh Karakteristik Terhadap Pendapatan Petani Jagung di Kabupaten Sumba Timur (Studi Kasus: Desa Kiritana, Kecamatan Kambera, Kabupaten Sumba Timur)." Jurnal Ekonomi Pertanian dan Agribisnis, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 94–101. 2018.
- [8] Maryoni, H. S. "Identifikasi Pengaruh Luas Lahan, Biaya Pemeliharaan, dan Jumlah Keluarga terhadap Pendapatan Petani (Studi Kasus Desa Kepenuhan Raya)." *Jurnal Sungkai*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 09-117. 2015.
- [9] Mursalim, Akhbar, Hasriani, M. "Analisis Keberhasilan Rehabilitasi Hutan dan Lahan Di Sub DAS Miu." *e-Jurnal Mitra Sains*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 11-21. 2019.
- [10] Pribadiningtyas, Dian Kurnia. Abdullah Said. Mochamad Rozikin. "Partisipasi Masyarakat Dalam Rehabilitasi Hutan Mangrove (Studi Tentang Peran Pemerintah dalam Meningkatkan Partisipasi Masyarakat untuk Rehabilitasi Hutan Mangrove di Badan Lingkungan Hidup Kota Probolinggo)." Jurnal Administrasi Publik (JAP), vol. 1, no. 3, pp. 70-79. 2017.

- [11] Puspitaningsih, O. S., Utami, B. W., Wijianto, A. "Partisipasi kelompok tani dalam mendukung program-program pertanian berkelanjutan di Kecamatan Puring, Kabupaten Kebumen (Studi komparasi kelompok tani kelas lanjut dan pemula)." *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 79-85. 2016.
- [12] Safe'i R. "Nilai status dan perubahan kesehatan hutan mangrove (Studi kasus hutan mangrove di Desa Margasari, Kecamatan LabuhanMaringgai, Kabupaten Lampung Timur)." J Perennial, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 73-79. 2020.
- [13] Safe'i, R., Fransina S. L., Bainah Sari D., Ferdi A. "Short Communication: Assessing the state and change of forest health of the proposed arboretum in Wan Abdul Rachman Grand Forest Park, Lampung, Indonesia." *Biodiversitas*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 2072-2077. 2021.
- [14] Safe'i, R., Fransina S. L., Erdi Suroso., Warsono. "Identification of Durian Tree Health (*Durio zibethinus*) In The Prospective Nusantara Garden Wan Abdul Rachman Lampung Indonesia." *Plant Cell Biotechnology and Molecular Biology*, vol. 21, no. 41-42, pp. 103-110. 2020.
- [15] Safe'i, R., Hardjanto H., Supriyanto S., Sundawati L. 2015. "Pengembangan metode penilaian kesehatan hutan rakyat sengon (miq.) Barneby & J.W. Grimes)." J Penelit Hutan Tanam, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 175-187. 2015.
- [16] Safe'i, R., Hardjanto H., Supriyanto S., Sundawati L. "Value of vitality status in monoculture and agroforestry planting systems of the community forests." *Intl J Sci Appl Res*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 340-353. 2014.
- [17] Safe'i, R., Indra Gumay F., Lina Nur A. "Pengaruh Keberadaan Gapoktan Terhadap Pendapatan Petani dan Perubahan Tutupan Lahan di Hutan Kemasyarakatan." *Jurnal Ilmu-ilmu Sosial dan Humaniora*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 109-114. 2018.
- [18] Safe'i, R., Rizky Novia S., Dian Iswandaru., Fransina S. L., IraTaskirawati., Hari Kaskoyo. "Biodiversity and Site Quality as Indicators of Mangrove Forest Health Pasir Sakti, Indonesia." *Annals of the Romanian Society for Cell Biology*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 4400-4410. 2021.
- [19] Safe'i, R., Tsani, K. M. "Penyuluhan program kesehatan hutanrakyat di desa tanjung kerta kecamatan kedondong kabupaten pesawaran." *Jurnal Pengabdian kepada Masyarakat*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 1-3. 2017.
- [20] Safe'i, R., Wulandari C., Kaskoyo H. "Penilaian kesehatan hutan pada berbagai tipe hutan di Provinsi Lampung." *Jurnal Sylva Lestari*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 95-109. 2019.
- [21] Safe'i, R., Yulia Indriani., Arif Darmawan., Hari Kaskoyo. "Status Pemantauan Kesehatan Hutan yang Dikelola Oleh Kelompok Tani Hutan SHK Lestari: Studi Kasus Kelompok Tani Hutan Karya Makmur I Desa Cilimus, Kecamatan Teluk Pandan, Kabupaten Pesawaran, Provinsi Lampung." *Jurnal Sylva Tropika*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 185-198. 2019.
- [22] Sawerah, Siti., Pudji Muljono., P. Tjitropranoto. "Partisipasi Masyarakat dalam Pencegahan Kebakaran Lahan Gambut di Kabupaten Mempawah, Provinsi Kalimantan Barat." *Jurnal Penyuluhan*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 89-102. 2016.
- [23] Setiawan, A., Ramadani, K. D., and Budiatmodjo, E. Statistik Pemuda Indonesia 2014: Hasil Survei Sosial Ekonomi Nasional, Jakarta, Indonesia. 2018.
- [24] Sudomo, Aris. "Penelitian Tingkat Partisipasi Masyarakat Dalam Pelaksanaan Kegiatan Rehabilitasi Hutan dan Lahan (Studi Kasus di Desa Cisaga, Kecamatan Cisaga, Kabupaten Ciamis, Jawa Barat." *Prosiding SNaPP 2011 Sains, Teknologi, dan Kesehatan*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 473-482. 2011.
- [25] Sugiyono. *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif Kualitatif dan R&D*, Alfabeta, Bandung, p 456, 2014.
- [26] Supriyanto, W., R. Iswandari. "Kecenderungan Sivitas Akademika Dalam Memilih Sumber Referensi Untuk Penyusunan Karya Tulis Ilmiah di Perguruan Tinggi." Berkala Ilmu Perpustakaan dan Informasi, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 79-86. 2017.
- [27] Tahir, M. I., Nurhapsa, N., Mu'min, S., and Suherman, S. "Respon Petani terhadap Efektivitas Kerja di Lahan Irigasi Teknis (Studi Kasus Desa Carawali Kecamatan Watang Pulu Kabupaten Sidenreng Rappang)." *Jurnal Agri Sains*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 72-81. 2018.
- [28] Twientanata, Putrie, Kerusakan Ekosistem Hutan Bakau dan Upaya Rehabilitasinya di Pesisir Kota Probolinggo, 2011.

[29] Zeilika, Ezra. Partisipasi Petani Dalam Pengelolaan Hutan Kemasyarakatan Studi Kasus Gapoktan Mandiri Lestari di Kesatuan Pengelolaan Hutan Batutegi, Skripsi, Universitas Lampung, 2020.