

Progress and Challenges in Finding a Cure for Asthma

Gabriella Gita Febriana, Victor Christoff de Vries

Indonesia International Institute for Life Sciences (i3L), 13210 Jakarta Timur, Indonesia.

ABSTRACT

Asthma is an obstructive inflammatory disease of the airways. The number of people affected is still rising and is becoming a global health problem. The main features of asthma are chronic Th2-biased inflammation and the production of allergen specific IgE antibodies. The mast cell and eosinophilic infiltration together with the persistent secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines causes tissue damage resulting in remodeling of the airways. This results in exacerbated bronchial contractility, sub-epithelial fibrosis and goblet cell hyperplasia. Therefore, asthma is a complex disease with two main pathological characteristics. Several treatments are available on the market targeting the inflammatory component of the disease, such as corticosteroids. However, once treatment stops the hyperactivated structural cells keep secreting inflammatory mediators thereby recruiting the immune cells to the lung again. As such, current treatment regiments are suppressing the symptoms but will not result in a cure for asthma. Therefore, targeting both tissue remodeling and inflammation might prove to be a necessity in order to find a curative treatment for asthma. In this article we will discuss current therapies targeting inflammation and as well as some novel therapies focusing on lung remodeling in conjunction with inflammation.

Key words: Asthma, cure, novel therapies

ABSTRAK

Asma adalah penyakit inflamasi yang mengganggu saluran pernapasan. Saat ini jumlah penderita asma makin meningkat dan hal ini telah menjadi isu kesehatan dunia. Gejala utama penyakit asma adalah inflamasi kronis sel Th2 yang bias serta produksi IgE yang merupakan antibodi yang mentarget allergen secara spesifik. Infiltrasi mast cell dan eosinophil bersama-sama dengan sekresi sitokin pro-inflamasi menyebabkan kerusakan jaringan yang pada akhirnya menyebabkan remodelling saluran pernapasan. Proses tersebut mengganggu kemampuan kontraksi bronchial, menyebabkan fibrosis sel sub-epitel dan hiperplasia sel goblet. Oleh karena itu, asma merupakan penyakit kompleks yang memiliki dua karakter patologi utama. Berbagai pengobatan telah tersedia di pasaran, salah satunya adalah kortikosteroid yang mentarget komponen inflamasi. Namun ketika proses pengobatan dihentikan, sel struktural yang sudah teraktivasi secara berlebihan akan terus mensekresi sitokin-sitokin yang berperan sebagai mediator inflamasi. Proses ini akan menyebabkan sel-sel imun masuk kembali ke dalam paru-paru. Pengobatan dengan cara tersebut hanya akan mengurangi gejala asma, namun tidak dapat menyembuhkan penyakit tersebut. Pengobatan yang ditujukan pada inflamasi dan remodelling jaringan secara bersamaan, diprediksi menjadi pilihan yang tepat untuk terapi asma di masa akan datang. Artikel ini membahas terapi yang telah dilakukan selama ini dan beberapa terapi baru yang menargetkan perubahan struktur paru-paru dan inflamasi secara bersamaan. Gabriella Gita Febriana, Victor Christoff de Vries. Perkembangan dan Tantangan dalam Pengobatan Asma.

Kata kunci: Asma, kesembuhan, terapi baru

INTRODUCTION

Hypersensitivity is the collective name for diseases in which an aberrant immune response is mounted against innocuous agent, for instance allergens and haptens. In the 1960's Gell and Coombs designed a classification which divides the type of response in four major groups.¹ However, with the increasing knowledge of the immune system, this classification has been revised many times and currently there are 5 classes of hypersensitivity² of which type IV has been

subdivided in 4 distinct phenotypic responses (see table 1).³ In this review we will talk about chronic asthma, a combined type I and type IVb hypersensitivity.

Asthma is an obstructive inflammatory airway disease characterized by the production of allergen specific IgE and a mainly Th2 driven immune response. Furthermore, accumulation of eosinophils and mast cells contribute to the severity of this disease.⁴ As a consequence of the chronic

inflammation, the continuous release of pro-inflammatory mediators as well as the release of mast cell derived proteases by degranulation leads to tissue damage and aberrant "emergency" repair responses which includes goblet cell hyperplasia and increased mucus production, an increase in smooth muscle mass and sub-epithelial fibrosis.⁵ These changes are collectively known as tissue remodeling and together with inflammation contribute to the asthma pathogenesis (figure 1).

Alamat korespondensi email: gabriella.febriana@i3l.ac.id

508



Table 1: The adapted Gell-Coombs classification of hypersensitivity reactions¹

Hypersensitivity classification	Characteristic	Alternative name	Examples
Туре І	lgE and IgG4, mast cells, eosinophils	Allergy	Atopy, Asthma, Rhinitis, anaphylaxis
Type II	lgG or IgM, (complement), membrane attack complex, FcR⁺ cells	Cytotoxic antibody dependent hypersensitivity	Goodpasture's syndrome, rheumatic heart disease, membranous nephropathy
Type III	lgG, complement, neutrophils	Immune complex disease	SLE, Extrinsic allergic alveolitis, serum sickness
Type IV (a-d)*	 Immune cell mediated IVa : Th1 cells, macrophage activation IVb :Th2 cells, Eosinophils IVc : Perforin/Gramzyme B (CTL), T-cells IVd : CXCL8, GM-CSF, T-cells, Neutrophils 	Delayed type hypersensitivity	Contact dermatitis (IVa and IVc), Chronic asthma/Rhinitis (IVb), chronic transplant rejection (IVc), AGEP and behcet's disease (IVd)
Type V**	lgG or lgM, complement	Receptor mediated auto- immune disease	Graves' disease, Myasthenia Gravis

* = The sub-classification could not be made by the original Gell-Coombs classification due to the lack of knowledge about the the various subsets of T-cells.²

** = Type V is an additional type that has been introduced to distinguish a sub-class of Type II hypersensitivity. In type V hypersensitivity antibody binding to the target cells impacts receptor signaling instead of opsonizing the cells by binding to non-receptor cell surface molecules.³

References:

1. Gell PGH, Coombs RRA, eds. Clinical Aspects of Immunology. 1st ed. Oxford, England: Blackwell; 1963

2. Pichler W, Drug Hypersensitivity Reactions: Classification and relationship to T-cell activation, Drug Hypersensitivity Basel, Karger, 2007, pp 168-89.

3. Rajan T.V., The Gell–Coombs classification of hypersensitivity reactions: a re-interpretation, trends in immunology 2003, Volume 24 (7), p367-79.

ON THE ORIGINS OF ASTHMA: THE DEVELOPING LUNG

Asthma is a complex disease and the origins are multi-factorial. There is a plethora of evidence suggesting that some asthmatic patients were already primed during development.⁶ This priming can be due to external factors influencing *in utero* lung development by epigenetic changes, such as parental smoking, air pollution and infectious diseases⁶⁻⁸ but can also have a genetic basis. Genome-wide association studies have identified over 100 genes predisposing the fetus to development of asthma later in life.⁹

Lung development is a complex process starting in the fourth week of gestation and continues years after birth. The appearance of the lung buds marks the earliest stage of lung development after which branching of the airways and blood vessels develop simultaneously. Due to the complex nature of the lung, multiple morphogenic pathways are active, with the main signaling molecules being FGF (fibroblast growth factor), BMP-4 (bone morphogenic protein-4), SHH (sonic hedgehog) and TGF^{β,10} The FGF9 and the FGF10/SHH/Ptch pathway are involved in branching morphogenesis and the development of bronchial and vascular smooth muscle cells,11-12 and several genes of both the HH and FGF pathway have been linked to the development of asthma.13-15 The FGF pathway is tightly regulated by TGFB, which is known to play an important role in tissue remodeling in the adult asthmatic lung.¹⁶ The developmental pathways stay active during the full progression of the lung development, including the canalicular stage, development of the lung parenchyma which branches out of the terminal bronchioli and form the dense vascular network of the lung, the saccular phase, formation of alveolar sacs which also branch out from the terminal bronchioli, and lastly the alveolar phase, formed from the new sacculi, where gas exchange takes place. The involvement of the different developmental pathways has been described in detail.¹⁷ The final stage of lung development is alveolar multiplication, which begins at term and continues for 2 to 3 years post-natal.

TINJAUAN PUSTAKA



Figure 1: Main pathologies of the asthmatic lung. In a mouse model of asthma the changes in the lung as well as the Th2 mediated inflammation are well recapitulated compared to the human situation. Left panels are untreated mice and the right panels are of mice treated for 8 weeks with ovalbumin (100µg intranasal). The A/J mouse has a physiological relevant induction of allergic airway inflammation as repeated application of the allergen through the nose induces the asthma phenotype without prior sensitization (intraperitoneal allergen+adjuvant). A. H&E staining showing an increase in inflammation. B. PAS-staining showing goblet cell hyperplasia and increased mucus secretion. C. Picro-Sirius Red staining for collagen showing increased collagen deposition in the sub-epithelial layers as readout for fibrosis. D. Immunofluorescent staining for alpha-smooth muscle cell actin showing increased smooth muscle cell mass.

Inflammation and remodeling, two sides of the same coin

Asthma pathology consists of two parts, chronic inflammation and tissue remodeling. These events are intertwined and it is not clear which initiates asthma, but it is likely that both options are valid depending on the individual. The first barrier allergens encounter is the bronchial epithelial layer, which will get activated to signal to the immune system. Hyper-responsiveness of the epithelium, as is the case in asthma, can induce a pro-inflammatory phenotype in dendritic cells.¹⁸ In an atopy susceptible individual or a Th2 driven environment, for instance due to previous viral infections, these

TINJAUAN PUSTAKA

dendritic cells will induce Th2 differentiation and subsequent IgE production,¹⁹ hallmarks of asthma. From mouse studies it became clear that toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4, LPS receptor), on presumably epithelial cells is absolutely required for the allergic response to house dust mite.²⁰ The LPS/Allergen activation of the epithelium induced the secretion of allergy associated inflammatory mediators such as GM-CSF, IL25, IL33 and TSLP. These mediators can drive the allergic Th2 phenotype observed in asthma. Furthermore, the epithelium in the asthmatic lung produces chemotactic factors for Th2 cells (CCL17, TARC) and CD8⁺ T-cells and neutrophils (IL-8, CXCL8). IL-8, in turn, contributes to the mucus hypersecretion by stabilization of Mucin 5A (muc5A RNA).21 As such the epithelium plays an important role in the initiation of allergic airway inflammation and the onset of asthma.

Once the chronic stage of inflammation is established, many structural changes will contribute to the persistence of the complex pathology of asthma. The mutual impact of immune cells and structural cells induces a perpetuation of the chronic state (figure 2). The most pronounced change in the epithelium of asthmatic patients is the increase in goblet cells (goblet cell hyperplasia) at the expense of ciliated cells. This is induced by the hallmark cytokines of asthma, IL4 and IL13 which impact the expression of FoxA2 and SPDEF, transcription factors involved in goblet cell development.²²⁻²³ However, many other inflammatory mediators have been implicated in the development of goblet cell hyperplasia such as IL9, IL33 and IL18.24 A second major change is the thickening of the airway smooth muscle cells (ASM). In asthma, the remodeled ASM undergo a phenotypic



Figure 2: Schematic representation of the mutual interactions between inflammation and tissue remodeling. At the onset of asthma the inflammatory component is most likely prominent, however the initial hyper-responsiveness of the epithelium when encountering the allergen might be the initial trigger to skew the immune response to the aberrant Th2 phenotype. However, once the chronic stage of asthma is reached remodeling and inflammation are equally important in maintaining this persistent inflammatory environment. Some of the main players as well as a selection of current and recently developed treatments are depicted. Due to the close relation between inflammation and remodeling in asthma we are of the opinion that targeting only inflammation will not lead to a cure of asthma since stopping the treatment will restart the cycle by the pro-inflammatory mediators produced by the hyper-activated and remodeled structural cells of the lung. Hence, novel therapies are under development targeting this component of the pathology of asthma, and combinatory therapies might be required to simultaneously treat both pathological hallmarks of asthma.



change from contractile to secretory which is influenced by the inflammation induced changes in the extracellular matrix composition. Furthermore, remodeled ASM express the FceRI and will get activated by crosslinking of the receptor by IgE.25 Molecules produced by the ASM can trigger a heightened Th2 response and include eotaxin (eosinophil recruitment), RANTES (T-cell, eosinophil and neutrophil recruitment) and GM-CSF.²⁶⁻²⁸ Another characteristic of asthma is the presence of mast cells in the remodeled ASM.²⁹ The activation of the mast cells and consequential degranulation induces strong bronchial contraction.³⁰ Lastly, the expression of CD40 and OX40L on the remodeled ASM contributes to T-cell activation, longevity and increase cytokine production and impairs Treg generation and functionality.³¹ The amount of current understanding of the interplay of structural cells and immune cells is substantial and has been recently reviewed in detail.24 However, this understanding has not yet resulted in effective therapies, even though emerging strategies might promise a first step in finding a cure for asthma.

Current Treatments for Asthma

Current therapies to treat the pathogenesis of asthma, as any other type of allergy, are geared towards either inhibiting IgE or the effector pathways, i.e. mainly T-cells and related inflammatory products. However, as we will discuss now, these therapies seem to only suppress and temporarily alleviate the symptoms but do not result in a permanent solution for the patients.

Corticosteroids/ β_2 -agonist: the "gold standard" in asthma management.

General immunosuppressants, such as corticosteroids (e.g. fluticasone and budesonide), are widely used for the longterm treatment of asthma. This treatment also seems to have effect on permeability of the vasculature, diminishing extravasation of immune cells and shows a modest inhibitory effect on mucus production.³² However, treatments using corticosteroids are often palliative, rather than curative. Douoll, et al. showed no significant effect of corticosteroids therapy on the pathological manifestation of asthma in a small cohort of children, introducing some controversy on the use of corticosteroids as asthma therapy.³³ However, the combination with inhaled β_2 -agonist



(e.g. salmeterol and formoterol) seems to be more effective. Recently it was shown that SiT (single inhaler therapy containing budesonide/formoterol) reduced the number of exacerbations and hospitalizations in a large cohort of over 9000 patients with moderate to severe asthma.³⁴ However, the effects of this treatment only last for a few days, so even though the SiT approach provides a complete asthma management approach, it does not provide a cure.³⁵

Targeting IgE

IgE is one of the hallmarks of asthma and is the main cause for degranulation of lung infiltrating mast cells. This leads to the release of many mediators among which a wide variety of proteases inducing severe tissue damage. Therefore, IgE showed good potential to be a target for asthma therapeutics. Omalizumab is a humanized recombinant monoclonal IgE neutralizing antibody. The antibody binds to free serum IgE and also reduces the expression of the F ERI, the high affinity receptor for IgE and is used for moderate to severe corticosteroid resistant asthma. A recent study showed reduced exacerbations and circulating eosinophils and periostin, a systemic biomarker for eosinophilic airway inflammation after treatment with omalizumab in a cohort of 850 patients with uncontrolled severe chronic asthma.³⁶ Furthermore, the use of omalizumab reduced the requirements of corticosteroids.³⁷ However, in patients requiring very high doses of corticosteroids (fluticasone >1000µg/day) no significant improvements were observed, however also here the patients could reduce the amount of corticosteroids to control the exacerbations.³⁸ It should be noted that treatment for over a year with omalizumab did reduce the frequency of exacerbation and improved the quality of life in a similar cohort of patients.³⁹ As such omalizumab could be good add-on therapy for people with uncontrolled moderate to severe asthma who poorly respond to high doses of corticosteroids or β_2 -agonists.

Specific Allergen Immunotherapy (SIT) and Peptide Immune Therapy (PIT)

Some of the 'newer' approaches for asthma treatments are based on desensitization and induction of tolerance towards the allergen. However, this idea was already introduced in 1911 by Noon *et al.* to treat pollen allergy, "hay fever".⁴⁰⁻⁴¹ Specific Allergen Immunotherapy

(SIT), also known as allergen-specific immune therapy (AIT/ASIT), and the more recently developed Peptide Immune Therapy (PIT) influence both cellular and humoral immune responses.⁴² Administration can be sublingual (SLIT) or subcutaneous (SIT) with SLIT having a superior safety profile. Effects can last for years after treatment due to the generation of memory suppressor cells. Other effects on the immune response are a deviation from Th2 to a more Th1 response, reduced production of allergen specific IgE, generation of antigen specific IL10/TGFβ producing regulatory T-cells, IL10⁺ B-cells and tolerogenic dendritic cells.42 Although the efficacy in allergic rhinoconjunctivitis and allergic rhinitis has been shown to ameliorate the clinical symptoms,43 the effects for the treatment of asthma are marginal.⁴⁴ On the other hand, this suggests that optimization and improvement of the current treatment regiments could result in more pronounced clinical effects, even with the notion that SIT treatment in asthma has a significant placebo effect.⁴⁵ It should be noted that this therapy can have severe side-effect, such as anaphylaxis and generation of autoimmunity and should therefore only be used in cases of severe or corticosteroid resistant asthma.⁴⁶ Another drawback of the therapy is the extensive treatment period up to 5 years to show efficacy in some patients. Therefore, further studies and potential new approaches should be explored in order to improve the efficacy of SIT for asthma.

NEAR FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS FOR NOVEL THERAPIES Immune-modulation by targeted

leukapheresis (TLA)

Although immune therapy shows great promise other immune modulatory therapies are under development. Clinical trials for a novel immune modulatory treatment will commence in Indonesia in 2014. Chemokine-receptor targeted leukapheresis (TLA) was developed for inflammatory bowel disease, e.g. Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis.47 However, it could easily be adapted for allergies (personal correspondence Prof. Dr. Hans Glise one of the developers of this therapy currently working at the Indonesian Institute for LifeSciences, i3L in Jakarta). Unlike IBD in which the disease causing immune cells are recruited to the site of inflammation by ligation of CCR9 (guthoming receptor), in allergy a wide variety of chemokines are involved. There are however some difference in recruitment of Th1 versus Th2 cells that could be explored. Th1 cells are recruited by binding of the respective ligands to CCR1/CXCR3/CCR5, whereas Th2 cells reach the long by ligation of the CCR3/ CCR4/CCR8. This difference could be utilized to filter out the allergy associated Th2 cells which are en route to the lung. Interestingly, the use of CCR3 (eotaxin receptor) would also target eosinophils which are prominent present in the lungs of asthmatics. Unless the use of multiple chemo-attractants in one or serially placed TLA devices with different chemo-attractants is feasible, CCR3 might be the best choice to test out this novel therapy for persistent airway inflammation, although it would only target a specific population of immune cells. One option would be to combine this therapy with SIT to potentially reduce the duration of the SIT treatment by simultaneously inducing suppressive allergen specific memory response and removal of newly generated pro-inflammatory cells, such as Th2-cells and eosinophils. TLA however is rather invasive due to the extracorporal circulation during the leukapheresis but could provide benefits for severe and corticosteroid resistant asthma patients.

Antibody based immune therapy targeting tissue remodeling

All the therapies we discussed modulate the aberrant immune response during allergy in various ways in order to either reduce the number of cell or to dampen the effector function of the immune response. We already emphasized that asthma is a complex disease with two main pathologies, inflammation and tissue remodeling. Even though this is well described, very little progress has been made in developing therapies that target tissue remodeling. Many consider tissue remodeling as the point of no return, and consider it similar to scarification and as such, irreversible. As mentioned before genome-wide studies showed reactivation of susceptibility loci in lung developmental pathway, such as the Wnt/ FGF/SHH pathway, during chronic asthma.13-15 Therefore targeting these pathways might proof beneficial.

One of those promising pathways is the hedgehog (HH) pathway. This pathway is involved in generation of epithelial cells,

TINJAUAN PUSTAKA

in which the HH protein is expressed. Responding cells include epithelial cells, smooth muscle cells and fibroblast, all three are hyper-activated and functionally changed in asthma. Additionally it has recently been shown that HH pathway is involved in the generation of Th2 cells.⁴⁸ In vitro studies with human cells showed that HH augments the activation and proliferation of CD4 T-cells.⁴⁹⁻⁵⁰ Studies performed in Singapore inhibiting the HH-pathway, targeting tissue remodeling, showed great promise for this approach (VdV, manuscript submitted) and when combined with the conventional therapies, targeting inflammation, could provide a more permanent solution for people suffering from asthma.

Conclusions

The number of people with airway allergies is increasing every year and millions of people are affected worldwide. Current therapies



geared towards management of the disease by targeting inflammatory responses are palliative rather than curative. Novel strategies such as SIT, TLA and antibody mediated neutralization of effector molecules show great promise. However, we believe that in order to cure asthma combinatory therapies targeting both pathologies of asthma, inflammation and remodeling, will have to be developed in order to find a permanent solution to this increasing health problem.

REFERENCES •

- 1. Gell P, Coombs RRA. Clinical Aspects of Immunology. 1st ed. Oxford, England: Blackwell, 1963
- 2... Rajan TV. The Gell-Coombs classification of hypersensitivity reactions: a re-interpretation. Trends Immunol. 2003; 24(7): 376-9.
- 3. Pichler W. Drug Hypersensitivity. Reactions: Classification and Relationship to T-Cell Activation. Drug Hypersensitivity. Basel, Karger, 2007. p. 168-189.
- 4. Wills-Karp M. Immunologic basis of antigen-induced airway hyperresponsiveness. Annu Rev Immunol. 1999; 17: 255-81.
- 5. Al-Muhsen S, Johnson JR, Hamid Q. Remodeling in asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2011; 128(3): 451-62; quiz 463-4.
- 6. Duijts L, Jadoe VW, van der Valk RJ, Henderson A., Hofman A, Raat H, Steegers EA, Moll HA, de Jongste JC. Fetal exposure to maternal and paternal smoking and the risks of wheezing in preschool children. The Generation R Study. 2011.
- 7. Mutius E. Environmental factors influencing the development and progression of pediatric asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2002;109 (6 Suppl): S525–S532
- 8. Ege MJ, Mayer M, Normand AC, Genuneit J, Cookson WO, Braun-Fahrlander C. Exposure to environmental microorganisms and childhood asthma. N Engl J Med. 2011;364(8): 701-9.
- 9. Moffatt MF, Gut IG, Demenais F, Strachan DP, Bouzigon E, Heath S. A large-scale, consortium-based genomewide association study of asthma. N Engl J Med. 2010;363(13): 1211-21.
- 10. Makanya A, Anagnostopoulou A, Djonov V. Development and remodeling of the vertebrate blood-gas barrier. Biomed Res Int. 2013: 101597.
- 11. Pepicelli CV, Lewis PM, McMahon AP. Sonic hedgehog regulates branching morphogenesis in the mammalian lung. Curr Biol. 1998; 8(19): 1083-6.
- 12. Litingtung Y, Lei L, Westphal H, Chiang C. Sonic hedgehog is essential to foregut development. Nat Genet 1998;20: 58-61.
- 13. Li X. et al. Importance of hedgehog interacting protein and other lung function genes in asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2011;127(6): 1457-65.
- 14. Li X. et al. Genome-wide association study identifies TH1 pathway genes associated with lung function in asthmatic patients. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2013;132(2): 313-20 e15.
- 15. Klar J, Blomstrand P, Brunmark C, Badhai J, Håkansson HF, Brange CS, Bergendal B, Dahl N. Fibroblast growth factor 10 haploinsufficiency causes chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. J Med Genet. 2011; 48(10): 705-9.
- 16. Broide DH. Immunologic and inflammatory mechanisms that drive asthma progression to remodeling. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2008;121(3): 560-570.
- 17. Wellington VC, Lu J. Regulation of Early Lung Morphogenesis: Questions, Facts and Controversies. Development 2006; 33: 1611-1624.
- 18. Hammad H, Lambrecht BN. Dendritic cells and airway epithelial cells at the interface between innate and adaptive immune responses. Allergy. 2011;66(5): 579-587.
- 19. Holgate ST. The sentinel role of the airway epithelium in asthma pathogenesis. Immunol Rev. 2011;242(1): 205-219.
- 20. Hammad H, Chieppa M, Perros F, Willart MA, Germain RN, Lambrecht BN. House dust mite allergen induces asthma via Toll-like receptor 4 triggering of airway structural cells. Nat Med. 2009;15(4): 410-6.
- 21. Bautista MV, Chen Y, Ivanova VS, Rahimi MK, Watson AM, Rose MC. IL-8 regulates mucin gene expression at the post-transcriptional level in lung epithelial cells. J Immunol 2009;183(3): 2159-2166.
- 22. Wan H, Kaestner KH, Ang SL, Ikegami M, Finkelma FD, Whitsett JA. Foxa2 regulates alveolarization and goblet cell hyperplasia. Development 2004;131(4): 953-64.
- 23. Chen G, Kofhagen TR, Xu Y, Kitzmiller J, Wert SE, Whitsett JA. SPDEF is required for mouse pulmonary goblet cell differentiation and regulates a network of genes associated with mucus production. J Clin Invest. 2009; 119(10): 2914-2924.
- 24. Ramakrishna L, de Vries V, Curotto de Lafaille MA. Cross-roads in the lung: immune cells and tissue interactions as determinants of allergic asthma. Immunol Res 2012;53: 213-228.
- 25. Gounni AS. The high affinity IgE receptor (FcepsilonRI): a critical regulator of airway smooth muscle cells? . Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol. 2006;291(3): 312-321.
- 26. Chan V, Burgess JK, Ratoff JC, O'Connor BJ, Greenough A, Lee TH, Hirst SJ. Extracellular matrix regulates enhanced eotaxin expression in asthmatic airway smooth muscle cells. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2006;174(4): 379-85.
- 27. Dekkers BG, Maarsingh H, Meurs H, Gosens R. Airway structural components drive airway smooth muscle remodeling in asthma. Proc Am Thorac Soc. 2009;6(8): 683-92.
- 28. Peng Q, Lai D, Nguyen TT, Chan V, Matsuda T, Hirst SJ. Multiple beta 1 integrins mediate enhancement of human airway smooth muscle cytokine secretion by fibronectin and type I collagen. J Immunol. 2005;174(4): 2258-64.
- 29. Bradding P. Mast cell regulation of airway smooth muscle function in asthma. Eur Respir J. 2007;29(5): 827-830.
- 30. Chhabra J, Li YZ, Alkhouri H, Blake AE, Ge Q, Armour CL, Hughes JM. Histamine and tryptase modulate asthmatic airway smooth muscle GM-CSF and RANTES release. Eur Respir J. 2007; 29(5): 861-70.
- 31. Vu MD, Xiao X, Gao W, Degauque N, Chen M, Kroemer A, Killeen N, Ishii N, Chang Li X. OX40 costimulation turns off Foxp3+ Tregs. Blood 2007; 110(7): 2501-10.
- 32. Barnes NC. Effects of corticosteroids in acute severe asthma. Thorax 1992;47(8): 582-583.
- Duoull I, Lampe FC, Smith, S. Effect of inhaled corticosteroids on episodes of wheezing associated with viral infection in school age children: randomised double blind placebo controlled trial. BMJ. 1997;315: 858-862.

TINJAUAN PUSTAKA



- 34. Kew KM, Karner C, Mindus SM, Ferrara G. Combination formoterol and budesonide as maintenance and reliever therapy versus combination inhaler maintenance for chronic asthma in adults and children. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013;16(12).
- 35. Scicchitano RI, Albers R, Ukena D, Manjra A, Fouquert L, Centanni S, Boulet LP, Naya IP, Hultquist C. Efficacy and safety of budesonide/formoterol single inhaler therapy versus a higher dose of budesonide in moderate to severe asthma. Curr Med Res Opin. 2004;20(9): 1403-1418.
- 36. Hanania NA, Wenzel S, Rosén K, Hsieh HJ, Mosesova S, Choy DF, Lal P, Arron JR, Harris JM, Busse W. Exploring the effects of omalizumab in allergic asthma: an analysis of biomarkers in the EXTRA study. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2013;187(8): 804-11.
- 37. Hanania NA, Alpan O, Hamilos DL, Condemi JJ, Reyes-Rivera I, Zhu J, Rosen KE, Eisner MD, Wong DA, Busse W. Omalizumab in severe allergic asthma inadequately controlled with standard therapy: a randomized trial. Ann Intern Med. 2011;154(9): 573-82.
- 38. Holgate ST, Chuchalin AG, Hebert J. Efficacy and safety of a recombinant anti-immunoglobulin E antibody (omalizumab) in severe allergic asthma. Clin Exp Allergy. 2004; 34(4): 632-8.
- 39. Humbert M, Beasley R, Ayres J. Benefits of omalizumab as add-on therapy in patients with severe persistent asthma who are inadequately controlled despite best available therapy (GINA 2002 step 4 treatment): INNOVATE. Allergy. 2005;60:309-316.
- 40. Noon L, Cantab BC. Prophylactic inoculation against hay fever. Lancet 1911: 1572-1573.
- 41. Ring J, Gutermuth J. 100 years of hyposensitization: history of allergen-specific immunotherapy (ASIT). Allergy, 2011; 66(6): 713-24.
- 42. Matsuoka T, Shamji MH, Durham SR. Allergen immunotherapy and tolerance. Allergol Int. 2013;62(4): 403-13.
- 43.. Creticos PS. Legends in allergy: Philip S. Norman and Lawrence M. Lichtenstein—the Hopkins experience. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2007;119(1031-1038).
- 44. Abramson MJ, Puy RM, Weiner JM. Injection allergen immunotherapy for asthma. Cochrane Database Syst Rev, 2010(8): p. CD001186.
- 45. Narkus A, Lehnigk U, Haefner D, Klinger R, Pfaar O, Worm M. The placebo effect in allergen-specific immunotherapy trials. Clin Transl Allergy 2013;3(1): 42.
- 46. Linneberg A, Madsen F, Skaaby T. Allergen-specific immunotherapy and risk of autoimmune disease. Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol. 2012; 12(6): 635-9.
- 47. Eberhardson M, Marits P, Jones M, Jones P, Karlen P, Karlsson M, Cotton G, Woznica K, Maltman B, Glise H, Winqvist O. Treatment of inflammatory bowel disease by chemokine receptortargeted leukapheresis. Clin Immunol. 2013;149(1): 73-82.
- 48. Furmanski AL. Tissue-derived hedgehog proteins modulate Th differentiation and disease. J Immunol 2013;190(6): 2641-2649.
- 49. Stewart GA. Sonic hedgehog signaling modulates activation of and cytokine production by human peripheral CD4+ T cells. J Immunol 2002;169(10): 5451-5457.
- 50. Lowrey JA. Sonic hedgehog promotes cell cycle progression in activated peripheral CD4(+) T lymphocytes. J Immunol 2002;169(4): 1869-1875.

Serap ilmunya, Raih SKP-nya www.kalbemed.com/CME.aspx