COMPARISON OF THE MAMDANI AND SUGENO METHOD TO INCREASE THE LEVEL OF ANALYSIS THE ADVANTAGES OF INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT

E S Barus¹, Niskarto Zendrato², Diana Alemin Barus³, Hasanul Fahmi⁴, Andi Marwan Elhanafi⁵

¹ Department of Sistem Informasi, Universitas Prima Indonesia
² Faculty of computer science and informatioan technology, Universitas Sumatera Utara
³ Faculty of MIPA Universitas Sumatera Utara, Medan -Indonesia
⁴STMIK Pelita Nusantara
⁵Universitas Harapan Medan
*baruschild2@gmail.com

Abstract

Article Info Received 01 Juni 2021 Revised 20 Juni 2021 Accepted 30 Juni 2021 Accepted 30 Juni 2021 Accepted 30 Juni 2021 The task of the analysis carried out using the system should have a value close to the value that is done manually. For this reason, this study will compare Mamdani and Sugeno methods to observe the similarity of values with manual calculation data. In processing fuzzy input data produces output from the inference process which is then classified in 5 feasibility conditions, namely, low, normal, high, very high and not feasible which is used as a supporting facility in making infrastructure development decisions in an area

Keywords : Sugeno, Compartion, mamdani

Abstract.

1. INTRODUCTION

The increasingly rapid development of the era triggered the government's performance in terms of equitable development in each region in Indonesia. Infrastructure development in each region is one of the government's efforts to improve the welfare of the Indonesian people. So that to see an infrastructure development in an area that is really beneficial to improve the welfare of the people around it, it is necessary to design a computer application that can analyze the benefits of infrastructure development that has been implemented in an area that is in accordance with government objectives and shows a percentage value that represents the level of prosperity of the local people after infrastructure development was carried out.

This system is an analysis of the benefits of infrastructure development based on fuzzy logic that shows the level of feasibility of infrastructure development in an area, so that it is expected to facilitate officers working to evaluate infrastructure development in an area in determining which areas can be used as development priorities and which areas need to be evaluated re-building the project.



http://infor.seaninstitute.org/index.php/infokum/index JURNAL INFOKUM, Volume 9, No. 2,Juni 2021

2. METHOD

2.1 Formation of fuzzy rules

After the formation of fuzzy variables and sets, the following rules are formed in accordance with the benefit analysis data.



Figure 1 Inference 1 Aspects of Efficiency and Effectiveness





Figure 2 Inference 1 Benefits Aspect



Figure 3. Fuzzy Analysis of the Benefits of Infrastructure Development

Figure 3 is a picture of the feasibility classification of the analysis of the benefits of infrastructure development consisting of 2 phases of inference derived from the input set of fuzzy values. Inference 1 is the process of determining the level of effectiveness, efficiency and benefits, then the second inference determines the feasibility classification of the results of the analysis of development benefits. Savings and output data.Simulation of the analysis of the benefits of infrastructure development using fuzzy logic with the mamdani method (centroid) will provide convenience for officers (users) in analyzing the results of the benefits of infrastructure development in a region and classifying it into 5 conditions. Furthermore, the system will provide several outputs to the officers (users) in the form of analysis results so that this will be an evaluation and consideration material whether the development can be continued or needs to be re-evaluated.

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The results of the simulation analysis of the benefits of infrastructure development using fuzzy logic with the mamdani method (centroid) are built using the fuzzy logic toolbox with GUI (Graphical User Interface) facilities so that it can be seen the following aspects of benefit inference Figure 4 used sugeno method.



http://infor.seaninstitute.org/index.php/infokum/index JURNAL INFOKUM, Volume 9, No. 2,Juni 2021







Figure 6 Input variable Produktivitas membership function fuzzy

The membership function of the output of effectiveness can be seen in Figure 7, where the membership function consists of 4 conditions, namely low, medium, normal and high iIn these conditions use the trapmf type curve for low and high conditions, while for moderate and normal conditions use the trimf type curve, namely triangle. Figure 8 data training used same data input and

comparing three method such as sugeno, mamadani and manually analysis. Based on data training data values of Mamdani close to analysis values than sugeno.

🗄 5-0 =	Paper 2 [Compatibility Mode] - Word Previ		Sign in						
🕢 Membership Function Editor: sugenookcompare1 — 🛛									
File Edit View									
FIS Variables	Membership function plots	plot points:	181						
	Tinggi								
Produktifitas	Normal								
	Rendah								
Hubungan _e osial	Sangat _R endah								
Aksessibilitas	cutput variable "Efektifitas"								
Current Variable	Current Membership Function (click on	MF to select)							
Name Efektif	itas Name	Sangat_Rendal	h						
Type output	Туре	constant	~						
Range [0 85]	Params 42.5								
Display Range	Help	Close							
Ready									

Figure 7 Membership function output variabel efecctivitas

	Training 1			Training 2			Training 3		
Variabel	Mam	Sug	Ana	Mam	Sugeno	Ana	Mam	Sug	Ana
Aksess productivity	58.3	58.3	58.3	70.5	70.5	70.5	61.4	61.4	61.4
Social relations	63.4	63.4	63.4	60.8	60.8	60.8	39.9	39.9	39.9
Community accessibility	78	78	78	64.4	64.4	64.4	53.8	53.8	53.8
Isolation	60.8	60.8	60.8	50.3	50.3	50.3	25.5	25.5	25.5
Effectiveness	75.6	42.5	70.5	42.5	75	35.6	47.5	47.5	40. 5

Table 8 Data training use Mamdani and sugeno method

4. CONCLUSION

The conclusions that can be drawn from this research are : Variabel Effectivitas value closer to the analysis value than sugeno. For Development Infrastructure analysis recomendes used Mamdani method to get a good value. Base on training effectiveness value show value constant

References



http://infor.seaninstitute.org/index.php/infokum/index JURNAL INFOKUM, Volume 9, No. 2, Juni 2021

- [1] Sri Hartati, Imas S Sitanggang," A Fuzzy Based Decision Support System for Evaluating Land Suitability and Selecting Crops "Journal of computer science 6(4):417-424, 2010.
- [2] Panduan Pelaksana PNPM PISEW TAHUN 2010
- [3] "Kecerdasan buatan", http://idhaclassroom.com/2007/09/15/../kecerdasan buatan.html. Tanggal akses 10 juli 2010.
- [4] Suparman, "Komputer Masa Depan Pengenalan Artificial Intelligence", Marlan. ,Andi, Yogyakarta, 2007.
- [5] Kusumadewi, S., Analisis Desain Sistem Fuzzy Menggunakan Tool Box Matlab, Penerbit Graha Ilmu, Yogyakarta, 2002.
- [6] Kusumadewi, S., dan Purnomo, H., "Aplikasi Logika Fuzzy untuk Pendukung Keputusan", Penerbit Graha Ilmu, Yogyakarta, 2004
- [7] IGunaidi Abdia Away, "MATLAB Programming", Informatika, Bandung, 2010
- [8] Kusumadewi, S., Analisis Desain Sistem Fuzzy Menggunakan Tool Box Matlab, Penerbit Graha Ilmu, Yogyakarta, 2002.
- [9] Efraim Turban, Jay E. Aronson, Ting Peng Liang," Dicision Support System and Intelligent Systems (Sistem Pendukung Keputusan dan Sistem Cerdas)", ANDI, Yogyakarta 2005
- [10]Dr. Eng. Agus Naba "Belajar Cepat Fuzzy Logic menggunakan MATLAB" ANDI, Yogyakarta 2009