READING MISCUE ANALYSIS OF SECOND SEMESTER STUDENTS IN STUDY PROGRAM OF ENGLISH UNIVERSITAS BRAWIJAYA

THESIS

By
Sherlie Dwi Hapsari
NIM 0911110261

STUDY PROGRAM OF ENGLISH
DEPARTMENT OF LANGUAGES AND LITERATURE
FACULTY OF CULTURAL STUDIES
UNIVERSITAS BRAWIJAYA
2013

READING MISCUE ANALYSIS OF SECOND SEMESTER STUDENTS IN STUDY PROGRAM OF ENGLISH UNIVERSITAS BRAWIJAYA

THESIS

Presented to
Universitas Brawijaya
in partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree of *Sarjana Sastra*

BY

SHERLIE DWI HAPSARI
NIM 0911110261

STUDY PROGRAM OF ENGLISH
DEPARTMENT OF LANGUAGES AND LITERATURE
FACULTY OF CULTURAL STUDIES
UNIVERSITAS BRAWIJAYA
2013

ABSTRACT

Hapsari, Sherlie Dwi. 2013. **Reading Miscue Analysis of Second Semester Students in Study Program of English of Universitas Brawijaya.** Study Program of English, Department of Languages and Literature, Faculty of Cultural Studies, Universitas Brawijaya. Supervisor: Fatimah; Co-Supervisor: Emy Sudarwati.

Keywords: Reading, Miscue, Miscue Analysis, Retrospective Miscue Analysis, Good Reader

Reading is one of language skills that is important to be mastered. Reading can help the reader to get new information and knowledge through printed media. Reading miscue analysis is one of the main research instruments in reading. As readers, it is important to know their own strategy and skills when reading the text, and Retrospective Miscue Analysis (RMA) can help them to discover it. In RMA, the students are asked to read the text orally, retell the context and discuss together with the writer. The writer conducts a study on reading miscue analysis of three good readers of second semester students in Study Program of English of Universitas Brawijaya. There are two problems in this study: (1) What oral reading miscues do the good reader of second semester students in Study Program of English make? (2) Why do the good readers of second semester students in Study Program of English do the oral reading miscues?

In this study, the writer analyses the types of miscue by using theory of Goodman and Burke (1973) and the three cueing systems by using Goodman's theory (1969). This research used a qualitative method because this study focused on the depth of understanding and the writer records the process of collecting the data.

The results of this research showed that even a good reader produced miscues and there were fifty nine (59) miscues, 20 omissions (34%), 17 mispronunciations (29%), 9 substitutions (15%), 8 self-corrections (14%), 3 insertions (5%) and 2 repetitions (3%). There was no reversal miscue produced by the students. The three of the students were good reader, because in retelling session they could predict the word that they did not know and could make a general conclusion in the text. Their skills were good, because they were fluent when reading the text and they were able to comprehend the text.

This research hopefully can help the participants to know their own strength and weakness in reading and can be the additional source or teaching materials for the lecturers. The writer hopes it can give new contribution for the next researcher to conduct the same research in different object.

ABSTRAK

Hapsari, Sherlie Dwi. 2013. **Analisa Kekeliruan Membaca pada Mahasiswa Semester Dua Program Studi Sastra Inggris Universitas Brawijaya.** Program Studi Bahasa Inggris, Departemen Bahasa dan Sastra, Fakultas Bahasa dan Sastra, Universitas Brawijaya. Pembimbing: (I) Fatimah, (II): Emy Sudarwati.

Keywords: Membaca, Kekeliruan, Analisa Kekeliruan, *Retrospective Miscue Analysis*, Pembaca yang baik

Membaca adalah salah satu keterampilan Bahasa yang penting untuk dikuasai. Membaca membantu dalam mendapatkan informasi dan pengetahuan baru melalui media tertulis. Analisa kekeliruan membaca adalah salah satu instrument penelitian dalam membaca. Sebagai pembaca penting untuk mengetahui keterampilan dan strategi dalam membaca. *Retrospective Miscue Analysis* (RMA) adalah prosedur yang dapat membantu mereka mengetahuinya. Dalam RMA, mahasiswa diminta untuk membaca keras, menjelaskan isi dari bacaan tersebut dan mendiskusikanya bersama dengan penulis. Penulis mengadakan studi analisa kekeliruan membaca pada tiga pembaca yang baik pada mahasiswa semester dua jurusan Sastra Inggris Universitas Brawijaya. Karena hal itu penulis akan menjawab dua rumusan masalah yaitu: (1) Apakah kekeliruan membaca yang dilakukan oleh pembaca yang baik pada mahasiswa semester dua jurusan Sastra Inggris? (2) Kenapa pembaca yang baik di semester dua jurusan Sastra Inggris melakukan kekeliruan saat membaca?

Pada penelitian ini penulis menggunakan teori tipe kekeliruan dari Goodman dan Burke (1973) dan *three cueing system* oleh Goodman (1969). Penelitian ini menggunakan metode kualitatif karena fokus pada pemahaman mendalam serta penulis juga merekam semua kegiatan saat mengambil data.

Hasil studi menunjukkan bahwa pembaca yang baik juga melakukan kekeliruan dan ditemukan 59 kekeliruan, yang terdiri dari 20 penghilangan kata (34%), 17 kekeliruan membaca (29%), 9 penggantian (15%), 8 pembenaran sendiri (14%), 3 sisipan (5%) dan 2 pengulangan (3%). Tidak terjadi pembalikan kata pada peserta. Ketiga mahasiswa merupakan pembaca yang baik karena mampu memprediksi kata sulit dan membuat kesimpulan umum pada isi bacaan dengan baik. Keterampilan mereka sangat baik karena mampu membaca dengan lancar dan memahami isi bacaan.

Penelitian ini diharapkan mampu membantu peserta untuk megetahui kelemahan dan kelebihan mereka dalam membaca dan diharapkan bisa menjadi tambahan bahan sumber bagi dosen. Diharapkan juga mampu memberi kontribusi pada penulis selanjutnya dengan melanjutkan studi ini dengan objek yang berbeda.

REFERENCES

Ajideh, Parviz. (2003). Schema theory-based pre-reading tasks: a neglected essential in the esl reading class. *The reading matrix, vol. 3, no. 1*.

Albader, Yousuf B. (2007). *Difficulties in second language reading.* School of Linguistic and English Language Bangor University.

Alidib, Zuheir A. (2004). *The effects of text genre on foreign language reading comprehension of college elementary and intermediate readers of frence.* Ohio: The Ohio State University.

Anders, Patricia L. (2012). *Secondary reading programs.* Tucson: University of Arizona.

Argyle, Susan B. (1989). *Miscue analysis for classroom use.* Pennsylvania: Slippery Rock University.

Ary, Donald., Jacobs, Lucy Cheser,. & Razavieh, Asghar. (2002). *Introduction to research in education, sixt edition.* United States of America: Wadsworth Group.

Bloor, Michael., & Wood, Fiona. (2006). *Keywords in Qualitative Methods.* London, California, New Delhi: Sage Publications.

Department for education and skills. *Miscue Analysis*. Retrieved March 3, 2013, from http://rwp.excellencegateway.org.uk/resource/Diagnostic+assessment%3A+literacy%3A+materials+for+assessing+reading+using+miscue+analysis/pdf/

Doehring, Donald G., & Aulls, Mark W., (2012). The interactive nature of reading acquisition. *Journal of Reading Behaviour, vol. XI, No. 1*.

Durgunoglu, Aydin Y., & Hanchin-Bhatt, Barbara J. (1992). *The role of first language in the second-language reading process.* Illinois: University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Library.

Ebersole, Michele. (2005). Reflecting on Miscues in Content Area Readings. *Journal of Academic Exchange Quarterly. Vol. 9, issue 2*.

Ferguson, Angela., Harding, Elisa., Helmer, Kimberly., & Suh, Soo Jung. (2012). Miscue analysis of native and non-native speakers. *Arizona Working Papers in SLAT Student Association, vol. 10*.

Field, John. (2004). *Psycholinguistic.* London and New York: Routledge Taylor & Francis Group.

Goodman, Yetta M. (2008). *Retrospective miscue analysis: an overview.* Retrieved 6th December 2012, from: www.rcowen.com/.../RMA-**Overview**Chapter

Gupta, Achala., Sinha, A.K. (2010). Health Coverage in Mass Media: A Content Analysis. *J Communication, 1(1): 19-25 (2010)*

Hempenstall, Dr. Kerry. (2012). *Miscue analysis: a critiue.* Bundoora: Department of psychology and intellectual disabilities.

Huszti, Ilona. (2008). The micro level of reading miscues: case studies of six learners, *Journal of WoPaLP vol. 2.*

Huszti, Ilona. (2009a). *Non-native children reading in English: types of miscues and the L1 influence revisted.* Bogota: Universidad Nacional de Colombia-Facultad de Ciencias Humanas.

_____ (2009b). *The use of learner reading aloud in the english lesson: a look at the micro and macro levels of oral reading.* Ungvar: Poliprint.

Johnson, Andrew P. (n.d.). *Chapter 1: Reading and your brain – the transactive model.* Retrieved March 3, 2013, from: http://www.opdt-johnson.com/Ch_1_Understanding_Reading.pdf

Klein, Alan. (2009). *The role of printed media in today's technological society.* UK: thesis.

Kotyug, Evgenia., Novosibirsk. (2007). *Teen Magazine: Pros and Cons. No. 24.* Retrived 25th April, 2013 from:
http://eng.1september.ru/article.php?ID=200702420

Liu, Feng. (2010). A short analysis of the nature of reading. *Journal English Language Teaching, vol. 3, no. 3.*

Mante, Joahna S. (2006). Reflecting on miscues in content area readings: a case of two learners. *The Asia-Pacific Education Research, 15(1), 183-206.*

Mass communication Module Print Media. (n.d.). Retrieved March 4, 2013, from: http://download.nos.org/srsec335new/ch5.pdf

McAleer, Anna Marie Louise. (2009). Running Records for Assessment 'as' Learning: Examining Student Metacognition through Retrospective Miscue Analysis. University of Victoria. Retrieved January 1, 2013. From: https://dspace.library.uvic.ca:8443/bitstream/handle/1828/1910/McAleer%20Dspace%20Project.pdf?sequence=1

Miramontes, Ofelia B. (1990). A comparative study of english oral reading skills in differently schooled groups of hispanic students. *Journal of Reading Behaviour, vol. XXII, no. 4.*

Moore, Rita A., & Aspegren, Christine M., (2001). Reflective conversations between two learners: retrospective miscue analysis. *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy, 44:6.*

Moore, Rita A., & Gilles, Carol. (2005). *Reading conversation: retrospective miscue analysis with struggling readers, grades 4-12.* Portsmouth: Heinemann A division of Reed Elsevier Inc.

Nefriannoora. (1999). *Reading miscue analysis of the students of SMPK/YMKA Surabaya – A Case Study.* Unpublish: Universitas Katholik Widya Mandala Surabaya.

Otto, Jean. (1977). Reading cue utilization by low-achieving college freshmen. *Journal of Reading Behavior IX, 1.*

Paran, Amos. (1996). Reading in EFL: facts and fictions. *ELT Journal volume 50/1, Oxford University Express.*

Qiuyan, Yan, & Junju, Wang. (2011). Investigating the miscue –reflected EFL oral reading process: a case study. *Chinese Journal of Applied Linguistic, vol. 34 No. 2.*

Rego, Lucia Lins Browne, & Bryant, Peter Elwood. (1993). The connection between phonological, syntactic and semantic skills and children's reading and spelling. *European Journal of Psychology of Education, vol. VIII, no.3.*

Reid, Gavin. (2005). *Dyslexia and Inclusion.* London: David Fulton Publishers.

Sinha, A. K., & Gupta, Achala. (2010). Helath coverage in mass media: a content analysis. *J Communication, 1(1): 19-25.*

Stampoltzis, Anglaia, & Pumfrey, Peter. (2010). *The effects of text genre on children's reading miscues and comprehension.* Aoroe 3/2010.

Strauss, Steven L., Goodman, Kenneth S., & Paulson, Eric J. (2008). Brain research and reading: How emerging concepts in neuroscience support a meaning contruction view of the reading process. *Education Research and Review, vol. 4 (2), pp. 021-033.*

Theurer, John Leikam. (2002). The power of retrospective miscue analysis one preservice theacher's journer as she reconsiders the reading process. *The reading matric, vol. 2 no. 1.*

Walker, Laurece. (1975). Newfounland dialect interference in oral reading. *Journal of Reading Behaviour. 1975 VII, 1.*

Wallace, Chaterine. 1989. *Learning to read in a second language: a window on the language acquisition process.* Reading in a Foreign Language, 5(2).

Weaver, Constance. (2009). *Reading process brief edition of reading process and practice, Third edition.* Ohio: Heinemann Prtsmouth, NH.

Wixson, Karen L. (1979). Miscue analysis: A critical review. *Journal of Reading Behavior. Vol XI, No. 2.*

Wu, Xiaoying, & Anderson, Richard C. (2007). Reading strategies revealed in Chinese children's oral reading. *Journal of Literacy Teaching and Learning, vol. 12, no.1.*

Yildirim, Asst. Prof. Dr. Kasim, & Ates, Dr. Seyit. Silent and oral reading fluency: which one is the best predictor of reading comprehension of turkish elementary students?. *International Journal on New Trends in Education and Their Implications, vol. 3, issue: 4.*