

**LANGUAGE LEARNING STRATEGIES USED BY DIFFERENT  
ENGLISH PROFICIENCY STUDENTS OF STATE SENIOR HIGH  
SCHOOL 3 MALANG**

**THESIS**

**BY  
YUANITA EMANTO  
NIM 0911110096**



**STUDY PROGRAM OF ENGLISH  
DEPARTMENT OF LANGUAGES AND LITERATURE  
FACULTY OF CULTURAL STUDIES  
UNIVERSITAS BRAWIJAYA  
2013**

## ABSTRACT

Emanto, Yuanita. 2013., **Language Learning Strategies used by different English Proficiency Students of State Senior High School 3 Malang**. Study Program of English, Universitas Brawijaya, Supervisor: Syariful Muttaqin; Co-supervisor: Yana Shanti Manipuspika.

Keywords: Language Learning Strategies, English Proficiency

English is one of international languages in the world and mainly used in international forums. Because of its importance, Indonesian government decides to make English as a formal subject in schools. Students are expected to have basic competences in four skills those are listening, speaking, reading, and writing comprehensively to reach functional literate. Students should have strategies to improve their proficiency and skill in English. The aims of this study are to find out (1) how language learning strategies applied by students of 11<sup>th</sup> grade at State Senior High School 3 Malang (SMAN 3 Malang), and (2) how English proficiency levels relate to the use of language learning strategies by students of 11<sup>th</sup> grade at SMAN 3 Malang.

This study applied quantitative research. This study used *Strategy Inventory for Language Learning (SILL)* version 7 (EFL/ESL) by Oxford (1990) translated into Bahasa Indonesia as the instrument. The English raport score was used to measure students's proficiency. This study use descriptive analysis and *Pearson Product Moment* to investigate the use of language learning strategies and the correlation between language learning strategies and English proficiency. The participants were students of 11<sup>th</sup> grade at SMAN 3 Malang divided into three different levels of English proficiency; those are high, intermediate and low.

The results of this study indicate that students are in medium level meaning that they sometimes use those strategies in learning a language. Students with high English proficiency used most metacognitive strategies while intermediate English Proficiency students used most compensation strategies and low English proficiency students used most affective strategies. The positive correlation was found between high English proficiency students and metacognitive strategies and negative correlation was found between low English proficiency students and memory strategies.

Based on these findings, students are suggested to apply more metacognitive strategies and combine other strategies in their learning process. For further researchers, it is recommended to examine the language learning strategies in speaking, writing, reading, or listening through interviews and observations. Further researchers are also suggested to use other theories of language learning strategy in conducting research.

## REFERENCES

- Al-Buainain, H. (2010). Language learning strategies employed by English majors at Qatar University: questions and queries. *Asiatic*. 4, 2, 92-120.
- Ary, D., Jacobs, L. C., and Razavieh, A. (2002). *Introduction to research in education (6<sup>th</sup> ed)*. Stamford: Wodsworth/Thomson Learning.
- Cahrill, A, Carola S.O, and Mariela P. (2008). Explaining English language proficiency among adolescent immigrant students. *American educational research journals*, 45, 4, 1155-1179.
- Chamot, U.A. (2004). Issues in language learning strategy research and teaching. *Electronic journal of foreign language teaching*, 1, 1, 14-26.
- Clouston, M.L. (1997). *Language learning strategies: an overview for L2 teachers*. Retrieved February 30, 2013 from <http://iteslj.org/Articles/Lessard-Clouston-Strategy.html>
- Hismanoglu. (2000). *Language learning strategies in foreign language learning and teaching*. Retrieved February 13, 2013 from <http://iteslj.org/Articles/Hismanoglu-Strategies.html>
- Kato, S. (2005). How language learning strategies affect English proficiency in Japanese University students, 7, 1, 239-262
- Krashen, D.S. (1982). *Principles and practice in second language acquisition*. California: Pergamon Press inc.
- Lee, K.C. (2010). An overview of language learning strategies. *Arecls*,7, 132-152
- Liang, T. (2009). Language learning strategies - the theoretical framework and some suggestions for learner training practice. *English language teaching*, 2, 4, 199-206.
- Oxford, Rebecca. (1990). *Language learning strategies: what every teacher should know*. New York: Newbury House Publishers.
- Muijs, D. (2004). *Doing quantitative research in education*. Gateshead: Athenaeum Press.
- Sarjono, H. dan Julianita, W. 2011. *SPSS vs LISREL Sebuah Pengantar, Aplikasi untuk Riset*. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.
- Sugiyono. (2009). *Metode penelitian kuantitatif kualitatif dan r&d*. Bandung: Alfabeta.

- Tse, Y.A. (2011). A comparison of language learning strategies adopted by secondary and university students in Hong Kong. *International journals for business and social science*, 2, 11, 29-34
- Wijaya, Toni. (2012). *Cepat menguasai SPSS 20 untuk olah dan interpretasi data*. Yogyakarta: Cahaya Atma Pustaka
- Wikipedia. (2013). *SMA Negeri 3 Malang*. Retrieved February, 13 2013 from [Id.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/SMA\\_Negeri\\_3\\_Malang](http://id.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/SMA_Negeri_3_Malang)
- Zhao, J. (2009). *Language learning strategies and English proficiency: a study of Chinese undergraduate programs in Thailand*. Retrieved February, 3, 2013, from <http://www.journal.au.edu/scholar/2009/pdf/JuanZhao28-32.pdf>