### The Determinant Factors of Auditor Switch

(Empirical studies to Companies listed on Indonesian Stock Exchange)

Sri Yunawati\*1, Zulkarnain<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1,2</sup>Fakultas Ekonomi, Universitas Pasir Pengaraian, Riau, Indonesia \*e-mail: syuna@gmail.com

Abstract—In Indonesian, regulation about change auditor subsit on regulation of finance minister of Republic Indonesia Number 17/PMK.01/2008 article 3rd, requires the audit public services for financial reports of an entity by public accountant office branch maximum for 6 (six) book years in a row and a auditor maximum 3 (three) book years in a row. This study did in manufacturing companies listed in Indonesian Stock Exchange (IDX's) on 2018. The aim of this research is to find major determinants of auditor switch among companies listed onIndonesian Stock Exchange. The research used statistic wald test at level significancy is 5%, the presents that change of management, firm size, , Public Accountant Office Branch Size, and fees audit thatt there is no affect significant impact on the auditor switching in manufacturing companies who listed at Indonesian Stock Exchange (IDX's)

Keywords— Auditors Switching, Change of Management, Firm Size, Public Accountant Office Branch Size.Fees Audit

### I. INDTRODUCTION

Reliable financial reports provide required information for managers, investors, creditors and government [1]. The financial reports comprising balance sheet, income statement, cash flow statementand notes to the financial statements [2]. Financial statement users rely on this information only after the external auditor, who is independent, confirms the reliability of this information. For investors, they need relevant information and also actual representation to enable them to make comparisons for the efficiency of capital allocation. Financial reporting has general purpose to afford financial information of the reporting firm that is useful to present and helpful for investors, lenders, and other creditors while make decision as capital provider in their proportion [3]. The value of financial reporting are increase if financial reporting was audited by the public accountant of fice branch [4].

Financial reporting information is provided in reasonable, trustworthy, and easy to understand. The procedure was needed to auditing financial reporting by public accountant office branch who auditor independent. Independency is the auditor not easily influenced by anyone[5]. The relationship between accunting public firm as auditors with a company (client) as the assignor of long standing can threaten a lack of independence of auditors and indirectly influenced the quality of audit.

The audit engagement restrictions are efforts to prevent auditors not often interact with clients that will affect its independence. To avoid it is imposed regulation about the company must switch auditor. Auditor switching is

IJoASER,Volume 2, Issue 2, July,2019 DOI: 10.33648/ijoaser.v2i2.31

Copyriht: STAI Al-Furgan Makassar, Indonesia

Content License: CC-BY-SA

change auditors (public accountant office branch) by company (client) in audit assignment of financial reporting.

In Indonesian, regulation about change auditor subsit on regulation of finance minister of Republic Indonesia Number 17/PMK.01/2008 article 3rd, requires the audit public services for financial reports of an entity by public accountant office branchmaximum for 6 (six) book years in a row and a auditor maximum 3 (three) book years in a row.

The study on the issue of change public accountant office branch couse case Enron to public in December 2001, the public accountant office branch was one of the Big Five was Arthur Andersen was failed to revive independency of auditing client. Based on the scandal, was born *The Sarbanes-Oxley Act* (SOX) in 2002 as solution of the big company scandal in American.In Indonesian, the case about error recording sales of financial reporting in PT Kimia Farma Tbk in 2001 by Hans Tuanakotta&Mustofa's public accountant office branchaffiliated with Big Four expected was involved inflation action.

Opinion going concern is one type of opinion unqualified with the explanatory can be given by auditors on financial statements a company. The audit opinion going concern is expected by companies because affects a setback stock prices, difficulty in raise capital loans, distrust investors, creditors, customers and employees on the management [6].

Contributions fees paid clients to total income auditors will not materil if auditors only provide services to clients one or several times. But, if granting the service done in the long run, especially when the size of the company large clients, so it is likely auditors would lose their potential significantly if they could not sustain the clients. So that it can also be tenure that the long between public accountant office branchand client that possibly damage independence of auditors. The phenomenon of the public accountant office branchhave been found the credibility of the implications financial reports and the cost of monitoring activity of management [7].

Auditor switching can happen voluntary or mandatory. Auditor switching happen in voluntary is, the factors are Client size, changing management, financial distress, audit fees, auditor opinion, and audit quality. The mandatory as happened in indonesia, this is because there are regulations require[8].

The research of this paper will be analyzed the determinant factors of auditor switch among companies listed on Indonesian Stock Exchange. The factors on this research are change of management, firm size, public accountant office branch size, and audit fees.

#### II. METHOD

The research use documentation in data collection techniques are financial statement of manufacturing companies listed in the Indonesian stock exchange on the 2018. The sampling techniques is purposive sampling. The samples are 24 manufacturing companies.

Variable research were divided in two groups: dependent variable (Y) and independent variable (X). Dependent variablewas an auditor switching (Y), independent variable were four variables: change in management  $(X_1)$ , firm size  $(X_2)$ , auditor size  $(X_3)$  and audit fees  $(X_4)$ .

Auditor switching (Y) were measured by dummy. The code of 1 provided if the company changedauditor, code of 0 provided if did not change auditor[9].

Change in management  $(X_1)$  was companies change president director given score 1 and companies didn't change president director given score 0 [10]. Firma size  $(X_2)$ , calculated on natural logarith of the company total assets [1]. Auditor size  $(X_3)$  be divided in two groups: public accountant office branchaffiliated with public accountant office branch Big four and public accountant office branch Big four. The score of 1 provided if public accountant office branchaffiliated with public accountant office branch Big four, and score of 0 provided if public accountant office branch Big four, and score of 0 provided if public accountant office branch Big four.

Audit fees  $(X_4)$ , measured to use logarithms natural of professional fees from manufacturing enterprises listed on the Indonesian Stock Exchange on 2018[11], [12], [13].

Analysis techniques used logistic regression analysis, because the research variables was creature dichotomy (auditor switching and un auditor switching), the regression models in research is as follows:

 $ASW_t = b_0 + b_1CHM + b_2FIS + b_3PAS + b_4FEE + e$ 

Keterangan:

ASW : Auditor Switching

 $b_0$ : Constanta

b<sub>1</sub> b<sub>2</sub> b<sub>3</sub> b<sub>4</sub> : Coefficient ofIndependent Variables

CHM : Change in Management

FIS : Firm Size

PAS : Public Accountant Office Branch Size

FEE : Audit Fees e : Error

Hypothesis test used to prove the influence change in management, firm size, public accountant office branch size , and audit fees for auditors switching. This hypotheses will be conducted wald test, if wald test of independent variable are values significance  $\leq 0.05$ , it means the variable sigifikan influential on variables dependent

### III. RESULTS

## A. Deskriptive Analysis

The samples of this research consist of 24manfacture companies have criteria consists of 8 firms with auditor switch and 16 firms without auditor

IJoASER, Volume 2, Issue 2, July, 2019

DOI: 10.33648/ijoaser.v2i2.31

Copyriht: STAI Al-Furgan Makassar, Indonesia

Content License: CC-BY-SA

switch. The samples are chosen from manufacture companies to represent the population of listedfirms in Indonesian Stock Exchange (IDX). Table 1 presents the descriptive statistics of two variables in the study. Table 2 presents the descriptive statistics of dummy variable on frequency distribution in this research

Tabel 1 Deskriptive Statistic Result

Variable	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Firm Size	24	25.49	31.73	28.98	1.71
Audit Fees	24	18.20	23.63	20.71	1.36
Valid N (listwise)					

The results of descriptive statistics in table 1 shows that the number of observations (n) this study total of 24.Descriptive statistics on the variables of firm size that is the logarithm of total assets company clients. Variable of firm size have minimum score 25.49 show that the total of the lowest in company assets research sample were Rp 117,534,765,105. The maximum score on the variables of firm size were 31.73 shows that the company highest total assets in samples of this research were Rp 60,278,704,615,596. Variable firm size have average (mean) of 28.98 and deviation standart of 1.71.

Descriptive statistics on the variables of audit fees that is the logarithm of professional fees paid a company to auditors. Variable of audit feeshave minimum score of 18.20 shows that fee professional the lowest in research sample areas were Rp 80,197,267. The maximum value on the variables of firm size 23.63 shows that professional highest fee on sample this research were Rp 18,296,946,044. Variable audit fees have average (mean) as much as 20.71 and standard deviation of 1.36.

Table 2
Descriptive Statistic Result of Dummy Variable – Frequency Distrobution

		Frequency	Percent
ASW	Not Switch	16	66.7
	Switch	8	33.3
	Total	24	100.0
CHM	Not Change	19	79.2
	Change	5	20.8
	Total	24	100.0
PAS	Non Big Four	17	70.8
	Big Four	7	29.2
	Total	24	100.0

The results of descriptive statistics in table 2 presents variable in form of data is nominal (dummy) which are auditor switching, change of management, and Public Accountant Office Branch Size of measured using a frequency distribution to know the spread of the samples were 24 companies. From the number of companies that are observed 16 (66.7 %) samples of company that without auditor switching and the rest 8 (33.3 %) samples of the company with auditor switching.

The result of distribution analysis on change of management variable reveals 19 (79.2%) samples of companydid not change management and there are 5 (20.8.2%) sample of companies change management. The result of distribution analysis on Public Accountant Office Branch Size reveals are 17 (70.8%) sample of companies were audited by Public Accountant Office Branch of not big four and there are 7 (29.2%) sample of companies audited by Public Accountant Office Branch of big four.

# B. Logistic Regretion

Table 3 Model Regresi Logistik

	В	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)
Step 1 <sup>a</sup> CHM	2.846	1.577	3.255	1	0.071	17.220
FIS	-0.389	0.536	0.527	1	0.468	0.678
PAS	-0.435	1.667	0.068	1	0.794	0.647
FEE	-1.241	0.737	2.833	1	0.092	0.289
Constant	34.927	17.145	4.150	1	0.042	1.474E15

a. Variable(s) entered on step 1: CHM, FIS, PAS, FEE.

Based on table 4, logistics regression model obtained was that as follows: ASW = 34.927 + 2.846CHM - 0.389FIS - 0.435PAS - 1.241FEE + e

If constant value fixed or independent variable arechange of management, firm size, Public Accountant Office Branch Size, and fees audit were assumed fixed or score 0 or constant, would likely the firm did auditor switching will drop 34.927.

### IV. DISCUSSION

The testing of hypothesesto test influence change of management, firm size, Public Accountant Office Branch Size, and fees audit about auditor switching with wald test. If wald test of independent variable result values of significance  $\leq 0.05$ , it means significant effect on variables dependent. These are table 4presents wald test result from logistics regression test.

IJoASER,Volume 2, Issue 2, July,2019 DOI: 10.33648/ijoaser.v2i2.31

Copyriht: STAI Al-Furgan Makassar, Indonesia

Content License: CC-BY-SA

Table 4 Wald Test Analysis Result

Variable		В	Wald	df	Sig.	Expl.
Change	of	2.846	3.255	1	0.071	Not Significant
Management						
Firm Size		-0.389	0.527	1	0.468	Not Significant
PAOB Size		-0.435	0.068	1	0.794	Not Significant
Fees Audit		-1.241	2.833	1	0.092	Not Significant
Constant		34.927	4.150	1	0.042	-

Significant at 5% level

The result of statistically waldtest presentschange of management, firm size, Public Accountant Office Branch Size, and fees audit there are not significant impact about audit switching on manufacturing firm in 2018.

The result of this research support research formerly practiced by[1], [14], [15], [4], [16], [17], and [18], however Contrary with the results of the study were [19], [9], [20], [21], [8], [18], and [22].

This research failed to prove that change of management, firm size, Public Accountant Office Branch Size, and fees audit to affect auditors switching.

### V. CONCLUSION

This study have 24 samples of manufacturing company listed in Indonesian Stock Exchange (IDX's). Based on model logistic regression were indicated that change of management have positive relationship withauditor switching, while firm size, Public Accountant Office Branch Size, and fees auditrespectively have negative relationship with auditor switching.

The significant level of 5% werechange of management, firm size, Public Accountant Office Branch Size, and fees audithatt there is no affect significant impact on the auditors switching in manufacturing companies who listed at Indonesian Stock Exchange (IDX's)

## REFERENCES

- [1] Chadegani, A.A, Mohamed, Z.M and A. Jari, "The Determinant Factors of Auditor Switch among Companies Listed on Tehran Stock Exchange," *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics*, no. 80, pp. 158-168, 2011.
- [2] M. Bagherpour, G. Monroe and G. Shailer, "Auditor Switching in an Increasingly Competitive Audit Market," Working papers, 2010.
- [3] D. E. Kieso and J. J. Weygant, Intermediate Accounting., John Wiley & Sons, Inc, 2011.
- [4] E. Suyono, F. Yi and Riswan, "Determinant Factors Affecting The Auditor Switching: An Indonesian Case," *Global Review of Accounting*

- and Finance, vol. 4, pp. 103-116, 2013.
- [5] Ikatan Akuntan Indonesia, Standar Profesi Akuntan Publik, Jakarta, 2001.
- [6] N. Wahyuningsih and S. I Ketut, "Analisis Pengaruh Opini Audit Going Concern dan Pergantian Manajemen pada Auditor Switching," *Jurnal Akuntansi FE Udayana*, 2011.
- [7] N. Sinarwati, "Mengapa Perusahaan Manufaktur yang Terdaftar di BEI Melakukan Pergantian Kantor Akuntan Publik?," in *Simposium Nasional Akuntansi 13*, Purwokerto, 2010.
- [8] A. N. S. Wea and D. Murdiawati, "FAKTOR-FAKTOR YANG MEMPENGARUHI AUDITOR SWITCHING SECARA VOLUNTARY PADA PERUSAHAAN MANUFAKTUR," Jurnal Bisnis dan Ekonomi (JBE), pp. 154-170, 2015.
- [9] Nasser, A.T, Wahid, A. E, Nazri, S. N and Hudaib, M, "Auditor-client relationship: the case of audit tenure and auditor switching in Malaysia," *Managerial Auditing Journal*, vol. 21, pp. 724-737, 2006.
- [10] Ekka Aprillia, "Analisis faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi Auditor Switching," *Accounting Analysis Journal*, pp. 199-207, 2013.
- [11] Calderon, Thomas G and Emeka Ofobike, "Determinants of Client-Initiated and Auditor Initiated Auditor Changes," *Managerial Auditing Journal*, vol. 23, 2008.
- [12] Sherliza Puat Nelson and Nurul Farha Mohamed-Rusdi, "Ownership Structures Influence on Audit Fee," *Journal of Accounting in Emerging Economies*, vol. 5, pp. 457-478, 2015.
- [13] Sinaga, E.A and Sistya. R, "BESARAN FEE AUDIT PADA PERUSAHAAN YANG TERDAFTAR DI BURSA EFEK INDONESIA," *Media Riset Akuntansi, Auditing & Informasi*, vol. 18, pp. 19-34, 2018.
- [14] Rizkilah and Didin Mukodim, "Faktor-Faktor Yang Berpengaruh Terhadap Auditor Switching Pada Perusahaan Perbankan di Indonesia," Jurusan Akuntansi, Universitas Gunadarma., 2012.
- [15] Varadita Febriana and Mochammad Didik Ardiyanto, "Faktor-Faktor yang Mempengaruhi Pergantian Kantor Akuntan Publik di Perusahaan Go Publik yang Terdaftar di BEI," Universitas Diponegoro, 2012.
- [16] Dwiyanti, R M E and Sabeni, A, "Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Auditor Switching Secara Voluntary," *Diponegoro Journal Of Accounting*, vol. 3, p. 1, 2014.
- [17] Khasharmeh, H. A., "Determinants of Auditor Switching in Bahraini's Listed Companies An Empirical Study," *European Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance Research,* Vols. 73-99, 2015.
- [18] Jessica Stephanie and Tri Jatmiko W P, "ANALISIS FAKTOR-

- FAKTOR YANG MEMPENGARUHI AUDITOR SWITCHING," DIPONEGORO JOURNAL OF ACCOUNTING, vol. 3, pp. 1-12, 2017.
- [19] Hudaib, M and T. Cooke, "The Impact of Managing Director Changes and Financial Distress on Audit Qualification and Auditor Switching," *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, vol. 32, pp. 1703-1739, 2005.
- [20] Nazri, S. N, Smith, M and Ismail, Z, "Factors Influencing Auditor Change: Evidence from Malaysia," *Asian Review of Accounting*, vol. 20, pp. 222-240, 2012.
- [21] Adeng Pelu and Adi Kuswanto, "Faktor-Faktor yang Mepengaruhi Auditor Switching pada Bank yang Tercatat di BEI," Universitas Gunadarma, 2012.
- [22] Meryka Kasih and Evita Puspitasari, "AUDITOR SWITCHING'S FACTORS: THE ANALYSIS ON AUDIT DELAY, CLIENT SIZE, AND AUDIT COMMITTEE CHANGES," in *Parahyangan Interbational 3rd Accounting & Businness Conference*, Bandung, 2017.
- [23] HARYANTO, D.N, "FAKTOR-FAKTOR YANG MEMPENGARUHI AUDITOR SWITCHING DI PERUSAHAAN MANUFAKTUR INDONESIA," UNIVERSITAS MUHAMMADIYAH SURAKARTA, SURAKARTA, 2018.