

A Novel Handoff Necessity Estimation Approach Based on Travelling Distance

Jyoti Madaan, Dr. Indu Kashyap

Manav Rachna International University, Faridabad, 121003, India

Article Info

Article history:

Received Dec 9, 2017

Revised May 3, 2018

Accepted May 27, 2018

Keyword:

Next Generation Wireless Networks (NGWNs)
Point of Attachment (PoA)
Predicted Received Signal Strength (RSS)
Quality of Service (QoS)
Vertical Handoff Decision (VHD)
Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN)

ABSTRACT

Mobility management is one of the most important challenges in Next Generation Wireless Networks (NGWNs) as it enables users to move across geographic boundaries of wireless networks. Nowadays, mobile communications have heterogeneous wireless networks offering variable coverage and Quality of Service (QoS). The availability of alternatives generates a problem of occurrence of unnecessary handoff that results in wastage of network resources. To avoid this, an efficient algorithm needs to be developed to minimize the unnecessary handoffs. Conventionally, whenever Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN) connectivity is available, the mobile node switch from cellular network to wireless local area network to gain maximum use of high bandwidth and low cost of wireless local area network as much as possible. But to maintain call quality and minimum number of call failure, a considerable proportion of these handovers should be determined. Our algorithm makes the handoff to wireless local area network only when the Predicted Received Signal Strength (PRSS) falls below a threshold value and travelling distance inside the wireless local area network is larger than a threshold distance. Through MATLAB simulation, we show that our algorithm is able to improve handover performanc

Copyright © 2018 Institute of Advanced Engineering and Science.
All rights reserved.

Corresponding Author:

Jyoti Madaan,
Manav Rachna International University,
Faridabad, 121003, India.
Email: jyotiverma.fet@mriu.edu.in

1. INTRODUCTION

Heterogeneous wireless networks are one of the most important structures that are needed for deployment of wireless technologies such as 4G mobile systems. The heterogeneous network is the group of technologies which can be accessed to allow the user to have seamless mobility and best quality of service at all time such as high coverage of cellular networks and high bandwidth of wireless local area network (WLAN) [1], [2]. To allow seamless mobility and always best connected services to mobile user, there is a need to develop an efficient handover decision algorithm. Handover is defined as the process in which the channel frequency, time slot, spreading code or may be combination any of them is being changed while the current call is in progress [3]. Handoff is divided into two categories as horizontal and vertical handoff on the basis of the kind of network is under use [4].

Lots of work has been done by researchers on Vertical Handoff Decision (VHD) process. Few of them are listed as follows. In an existing travelling distance prediction handoff algorithm proposed by Yan, the handoff occurs when the predicted travelling distance is greater than the distance threshold [5]. This algorithm provides constant value of probability of handover failure and unnecessary handover. The constant value of probability of handover failure and unnecessary handover is very high for low velocity user.

Isernia et al. in 2011 have proposed an innovative approach to dynamically reconfigure their radiation pattern of fixed-geometry linear array antennas. The proposed method is aimed at synthesizing reconfigurable arrays generating both pencil beams and shaped beams by means of a phase-only control

strategy. The benefits of both separate and optimal synthesis of pencil and shaped patterns, are combined to determine the common amplitude weights and the various set of phase excitation coefficients in a fast and effective fashion. The effectiveness of the proposed approach is discussed through a set of preliminary results concerning applications of actual relevance [6].

After that an enhanced VHD model based on Predicted Received Signal Strength (PRSS) and dwell time value is proposed by Kunarak and Suleesathira. The received signal strength is predicted by a backpropagation neural network to initiate a handoff early. The value of dwell time depends upon the mobile node velocity and moving pattern. They have designed multiple metric based policies for real time and non real time services in different networks. They have designed handoff triggering process to check the consistency of RSS during a whole dwell time duration for triggering handoff process. So that unnecessary handoff can be avoided. In future, the algorithm can be utilized for calculating handoff delay and throughput for real time services [7].

Bo et al., in 2014 have proposed multiattribute vertical handoff decision algorithm based on node mobility. The current access point pre switch to the adjacent access points, and the handoff is triggered when the signal coverage rate of the access point is below a preset threshold. Detailed simulation results show the performance improvement in a proposed algorithm as compared to traditional multiattribute decision making algorithm [8].

Later on, in 2015 Gao and Zhang have proposed a mechanism to select best access network for Low Power Mobile Terminal (LPMT) in integrated WLAN/UMTS environment. The adaptive weights are used to reduce unnecessary handoff and to extend battery lifetimes of low power mobile terminal without impacting the perceived quality of service (QoS) [9].

Also, there are some cases in which conventional distance predicted handoff algorithm cannot provide an effective handover decision. Following are the two cases in which handover to a mobile node cannot be provided through a conventional algorithm when it is actually required.

a. Case 1

The cellular network and WLAN overlap with each other as shown in Figure 1 (a) Let us assume, the mobile node enters from the cellular network (point P_1) into the WLAN and will exit from another point P_0 . Now as mobile node travels in the WLAN, it covers some distance. Therefore, conventional approach provides the handover to the mobile node on the basis of travelled distance in this situation. But, the strength of cellular network as well as WLAN is sufficiently strong to provide service continuity in this trajectory. Therefore, handoff is actually not required in this situation.




Figure 1 (a). Overlapping structure of cellular network and WLAN




Figure 1 (b). Shortest predicted distance

b. Case 2

In the second scenario as shown in Figure 1 (b), the mobile node enters from the cellular network (point P_i) into the WLAN and will exit from another point P_o . According to conventional approach, the shortest travelled distance (d) is very small as compared to threshold distance. Therefore, handoff cannot be provided to the mobile node through conventional approach, but it is actually needed.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

To overcome the above said issues we need to optimize the handoff algorithm to handle such type of situations. So, in presented algorithm handoff is done on the basis of travelling distance with respect to radius (Rd) instead of travelling distance (d).





Figure 2 (a): Travelling distance w.r.t radius (Rd) in overlapping structure

Figure 2 (b): Travelling distance w.r.t radius (Rd) in shortest predicted distance structure

In an overlapped architecture as shown in Figure 2 (a). Estimated travelling distance with respect to radius is R_d , which is smaller than the threshold distance ($R_{d\text{ traveled}} \leq d_{\text{threshold}}$). Therefore, handoff is not provided through presented algorithm. Although conventional method provide handoff in this case.

In shortest predicted distance architecture as shown in Figure 2 (b), Estimated travelling distance with respect to radius is R_d , which is larger than the threshold distance ($R_{d\text{ traveled}} \geq d_{\text{threshold}}$). Therefore, handoff is provided through presented algorithm, although conventional method can't provide handoff in this case.

3. RESULTS HANDOFF NECESSITY ESTIMATION MODULE (HNEM)

The Handoff Necessity Estimation Module (HNEM) determines the necessity of making a handover to an available network. HNEM module includes three algorithms- received signal strength prediction, travelling distance estimation with respect to radius, and candidate network selection algorithm. It takes predicted received signal strength (PRSS) of current serviced network, neighbor network, threshold RSS of serviced network and travelling distance of mobile node as inputs, generating the requirement of making handoff as its output. It also selects the candidate networks from the available networks. The block diagram of HNEM is shown in Figure 3.




Figure 3. Handoff necessity estimation module (HNEM)

The output of HNEM is one to indicate that the PRSS of current serviced network is not enough to provide services to mobile node as well as estimated travelling distance or stay time of mobile node in a network is very high as compared to threshold distance and is therefore a handoff is required. Otherwise, output is 0 i.e. handoff is not required.

$$\text{HNEM} = \begin{cases} \text{PRSS}_{\text{ser}} < \text{RSS}_{\text{th}} \ \& \ R_d \geq d_{\text{th}} = 1, \\ 0 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

The estimation of handoff necessity relies on accuracy of received signal strength (RSS) measurement. Next section shows the input parameters estimation of HNEM.

3.1. Received Signal Strength Prediction Using Levenberg Marquardt Algorithm

The estimation of the handover necessity is based on an algorithm attempting for the prediction of the RSS by using successive RSS measurements. Received signal strength is a measure of quality of signal perceived by the mobile node. The received signal strength and the mobile node movement are directly proportional to each other, which helps in estimating that whether the mobile node is moving away or closer to the monitored network.

In recent years, Artificial Neural Network (ANNs) has proven as the successful algorithm for the purpose of prediction of RSS in rural, suburban, and in indoor environment as well [10]. An artificial neural network is the neuron based system whose working is quite similar to the human brain and is used for processing of the information on the basis of the information that the system have. ANN is developed based on human brain, which is complex, nonlinear, and capable of working in parallel or in distributed environment and also can perform the local processing and adaption of the information as well.

The field strength prediction is done between two points and can also be considered as a function with several inputs and a single output [11], [12]. The inputs contain information about the mobile node speed, path loss, distance, etc., while the output gives the RSS for those inputs.

The presented approach use multilayer perception (MLP) for propagation simulation that is shown in the Figure 4 with four layers: the input layer, two hidden layers and an output layer. The neuron network is completely interconnected. This means that feed forward processing of the ANN is possible. Three different types of signals are being identified in this network:




Figure 4. Feed forward multilayer perception (MLP)

- The input signal is presented at the input layer of the network and propagates in a forward direction to reach the other end of the network as output signal. Each input unit receives the input signal (path loss, velocity, and location coordinates of the mobile node) and transmits this signal to all hidden layers. The output signal is being computed by applying the addition of the weights of the input signal for each hidden unit in the activation function.
- As the ANN is the back propagation algorithm hence the error signals that are generated at the output layer propagates backwards from output layer to hidden and so on in the ANN network. This is a defined function for the target and the actual output.
- The output of the neural network that represents the signal strength. Synaptic weights are used so as to connect the inputs to the first hidden layer of the network. As the network uses the forward processing

hence the output of the first hidden layer is the input to the second hidden layer, and all its neurons are connected to the output layer of the network.

Below mathematical equation describes the output of the network:

$$P_r = \phi_0 \sum_{k=1}^L w_{Ok} \left(\phi_{h2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^M w_{kj} v_j \left(\phi_{h1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N w_{ji} u_i \right) \right) \right) \right) \quad (2)$$

Where N, M, L depicts the total number of neurons in the hidden layers as first, second and third, w_{Ok} is synaptic weights from neuron k in the second hidden layer to the single output neuron, v_j is j^{th} element of the vector that inputs to the second hidden layer, w_{kj} is connection weights between neurons in the two hidden layers, u_i is i^{th} element of the vector that inputs to the first hidden layer, w_{ji} is connection weights between inputs and the first hidden layer, ϕ_{h1} , ϕ_{h2} and ϕ_0 are activation functions in the first, second and output layers respectively.

The activation function of the output layer is linear function, while the activation function of the hidden layers is sigmoid type. It can be given by the equation

$$\phi(a, v) = \frac{1 - e^{-av}}{1 + e^{-av}} \quad (3)$$

Where a depicts the activation function's slope. Activation function is used to evaluate the duration of the network training, so that the training speed can be adjusted by changing the available parameters. In the neural network, it not possible to defined the slope of the activation function for every neuron, so their estimation is made adaptive in way during the process of training.

The step by step execution of the algorithm is as follows:

- There exist the circular geometry in the WLAN.
- The mobile node moves in a straight line with constant speed in the WLAN.
- The propagation environment is modelled using the path loss model of WLAN coverage

The signal strength received by mobile node in a WLAN at distance x can be calculated as [13],[14].

$$RSS(x) = 10 \log \left(\frac{100}{(39.37x)^\gamma} \right) \quad (4)$$

Similarly, received signal strength for UMTS at distance x can be calculated as [15].

$$PL(x) = Z + 10\beta \log(x) + \chi_\sigma \quad (5)$$

$$RSS(x) = P_{tx} - PL(x) \quad (6)$$

Where γ is environmental factor, $RSS(x)$ is received signal strength at distance x, P_{tx} is transmitted power (1W), and $PL(x)$ is path loss at a distance x between mobile node and base station, Z is path loss constant, β is path loss exponent.

3.2. Travelling Distance Estimation with Respect to Radius

The travelling distance in a WLAN network area with respect to radius is predicted by using continuous RSS measurement. Let us assume the mobile node enters in WLAN cell at point P_1 and will exit the WLAN from point P_o , and current position of mobile node in WLAN network is P_T as shown in Figure 5. The Lognormal path loss model is used to represent the relation in RSS (in dBm), and distance from mobile node to access point (AP) at any point P in the available network [16], [17].

$$RSS_P = P_{tx} - 10\beta \log_{10} l_{OP} + \chi_\sigma \quad (7)$$

The relationship between the distance l_{OP} and cell radius inside the WLAN network area can be calculated by using a equation 7 as follow [18].




Figure 5. Travelling distance estimation with respect to radius at point P_T

$$R = P_{tx} - l_{OP_i} = 10 \frac{P_{tx} - RSS_{P_i}}{10\beta} \quad (8)$$

Similarly radius at point P_T is given by

$$R_{P_T} = P_{tx} - l_{OP_T} = 10 \frac{P_{tx} - RSS_{P_T}}{10\beta} \quad (9)$$

So, mobile node's travelling distance w.r.t radius (R_d) can be calculated as:

$$\text{Travelling distance } (R_d) = R - R_{P_T} \quad (10)$$

Suppose V is the velocity of mobile node and T is the time taken by the mobile node to reach point P_T , then the actual distance travelled (d) by the mobile node in a WLAN to reach P_T is

$$\text{Actual distance travelled } (d) = VT \quad (11)$$

Actual distance travelled by mobile node in WLAN may be greater than R_d [$d = VT \geq R_d$], if mobile node moves different from straight line, like in zigzag pattern.

Where P_{tx} is the transmission power of the AP (~ 20 dBm), β is the path loss exponent (ranges in between 2 and 5), χ_σ is a Gaussian distributed random variable with a zero mean and standard deviation ($\sigma \sim 12$ dB) [19], RSS_{P_i} represents received signal strength at entry point, RSS_{P_T} is received signal strength at mobile node current position P_T , R is radius of WLAN, R_{P_T} is radius at point P_T , P_T is current location of mobile node in WLAN network, l_{OP} is the distance between point P and the AP, l_{OP_i} is distance between entry point P_i and the AP, and l_{OP_T} is distance between current location P_T and the AP.

3.3. Candidate Network Selection

The available network defines the candidate networks. A candidate network is defined as the network which can support the services requested by the mobile user. Minimum guarantee function (MGF) is defined as the function which denotes whether the network supports the minimum requirement of the mobile node or not. It is a function of received signal strength, bandwidth, power requirement, service cost, and velocity of the mobile node.

The Minimum guarantee function of a network l is given by:

$$MGF_l = f(PRSS_l - RSS_{th}) * f(BW_l - BW_{th}) * f(PO_l - PO_{th}) * f(CO_l - CO_{th}) * f(V_{mn_l} - V_{th}) \quad (12)$$

Where $PRSS_l$, BW_l , PO_l , CO_l , V_{mn} , represents predicted received signal strength, bandwidth, power consumption, service cost, and velocity of a mobile node in a network l . RSS_{th} , BW_{th} , PO_{th} , CO_{th} , V_{th} are the predefined thresholds of received signal strength, bandwidth, power requirement, service cost, and velocity of mobile node to support the requested service of a particular network l .

The minimum guarantee function is defined as the unit step function, whose value is defined as 0 or 1 on the basis of the argument whether the argument is negative or positive. Therefore, it can be represented as a unit step function [20]. If the value of any parameter is lower than the threshold, then the value of MGF

is set to zero. As the result of that, the current network is not considered as candidate network anymore. Else the current network is added to the candidate network.

By introducing the mobile node velocity into the minimum guarantee function, the serious ping-pong effect can be efficiently eliminated. For example, if mobile node's speed is over 100 km/h, WLAN cannot support its speed. Handover to WLAN in that situation will not work. For certain user's the battery power is crucial. For example, if the battery power is low then the mobile network can switch to the networks which has less power requirement as adhoc and Bluetooth network. Switching to a network that require higher power requirement will not be beneficial in this.

Since equation (12) is quite simple and the estimation of the parameters can be done easily hence the time consumption is very low in the case of the pre-handoff decision.

4. METHODOLOGY

Algorithm for the handoff necessity estimation is shown in Figure 6. The mathematical arguments used to estimate the necessity of making handoff are presented below. A handover is required, if one of the two conditions is satisfied:

- a. The mobile node is travelling away from the current network and received signal strength from mobile node starts continuously deteriorating. In other words the PRSS from the current serviced network falls below the RSS threshold of current network ($PRSS_{ser} \leq RSS_{th,ser}$) and the RSS from the neighbor networks starts increasing, which means the mobile node is near the boundary area.
- b. The travelling distance of mobile node is greater than the threshold travelled distance. Threshold travelled distance refers to a minimum distance travelled by mobile node in a network for initiating a handoff.

Above two equations, estimates the necessity of making handoff in order to minimize the handoff failure and unnecessary handoff. In the case that above two conditions fails, it means the mobile node is not moving out of the coverage area; there is no need to handoff.




Figure 6: Flowchart of handoff necessity estimation algorithm.

Mathematically, probability of handoff failure and unnecessary handoff can be calculated as follows

4.1. Probability of Unnecessary Handoff

It refers to a frequent handovers between access networks. If the estimation of the necessity of making the handoff goes wrong, then the handoff algorithm will be too fast or too slow, by which the resources of the network are wasted or can result in unnecessary handoff. Hence so as to properly use the network resources, the handoff estimation should be done properly.

An unnecessary handoff occurs if the travelling time inside the WLAN cell is shorter than the sum of the handover time into (τ_i) and out of (τ_o) the WLAN.

The probability of unnecessary handoff for existing travelling distance algorithm proposed by Yan et al. in 2008 [6], Hysteresis based method (TDE) proposed by Liu et al., in 2008 [21] and presented algorithm (PTD) can be calculated as:

$$P_{Uhf_{Yan}} = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\sin^{-1} \left(\frac{V(\tau_i + \tau_o)}{2R} \right) - \sin^{-1} \left(\frac{T_{th}}{2R} \right), 0 \leq T_{th} \leq (\tau_i + \tau_o) \right] \quad (13)$$

$$P_{Uhf_{TDEhys}} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1, v(t_{dc} + t_{dw}) > 2d_{hys} \\ \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^{-1} \left(\frac{V(t_{dc} + t_{dw})}{2d_{hys}} \right), 0 \leq v(t_{dc} + t_{dw}) \leq 2d_{hys} \end{array} \right\} \quad (14)$$

$$P_{Uhf_{PTD(PRSS+travellingdis+Dwell)}} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1, V(\tau_i + \tau_o) > 2R_d \\ \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^{-1} \left(\frac{v(\tau_i + \tau_o)}{2R_d} \right), 0 \leq v(\tau_i + \tau_o) \leq 2R_d \end{array} \right\} \quad (15)$$

where R_d is estimated travelling distance with respect to radius, R is radius of WLAN cell, T_{th} is threshold handoff latency time; which is selected by system designer, [6], d_{hys} is distance between the mobile node and WLAN access point when handoff occurs in hysteresis based algorithm, t_{dw} is handover delay from cellular network to WLAN network, t_{dc} is handover delay from cellular network to WLAN network.

4.2. Handoff Failure Probability

A handoff failure occurs when a travelling distance inside the WLAN is shorter than the threshold distance ($R_{d_{travelling}} \leq d_{threshold}$). A threshold distance is a product of mobile node velocity and handover latency ($d_{th} = V\tau_i$).

The Probability of handover failure of existing travelling distance algorithm proposed by Yan [6], Hysteresis based method (TDE) proposed by Liu et al. (2008) [21] and presented algorithm (PTD) can be calculated as:

$$P_{hf_{TDEYan}} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\sin^{-1} \left(\frac{V\tau_i}{2R} \right) - \sin^{-1} \left(\frac{VT_{th}}{2R} \right) \right], 0 \leq T_{th} \leq \tau_i \\ 0, \tau_i < T_{th} \end{array} \right\} \quad (16)$$

$$P_{hf_{TDEhys}} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1, Vt_{dc} > 2d_{hys} \\ \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^{-1} \left(\frac{Vt_{dc}}{2d_{hys}} \right), 0 \leq Vt_{dc} \leq 2d_{hys} \end{array} \right\} \quad (17)$$

$$P_{hf_{PTD(PRSS+travelling+Dwell)}} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1, V\tau_i > 2R_d \\ \frac{2}{\pi} \sin^{-1} \left(\frac{V\tau_i}{2R_d} \right), 0 \leq V\tau_i \leq 2R_d \end{array} \right\} \quad (18)$$

$$d_{hys} = 10 \frac{P_{tx} - RSS_{hys}}{10\beta} \quad (19)$$

Where τ_i is handover time into WLAN, R is radius of WLAN, V is velocity of mobile node, d_{hys} represents distance between the mobile node and WLAN access point when handoff occurs in the Hysteresis based method, P_{tx} is transmitted power.

5. SIMULATION SETUP

MATLAB 7.12.0.635 (R2011a) is used as the simulation tool for the performance evaluation of the proposed algorithm. In the simulation an overlaid architecture of cellular network (UMTS), and WLAN is considered as shown in Figure 1 (a). Thousands of random trajectories of the mobile node from cellular

network to WLAN are generated. For each trajectory, a random entry and exit point in WLAN cell is considered. Table 1 and 2 defines the various network parameters and simulation parameters considered for simulation.

Table 1. Network Parameters

Network parameters	WLAN	Cellular network (UMTS)
RSS of WLAN (dBm)	-97.2/-96.8/-97.5	-/-/100
Min/max/th		
Bandwidth (Mbps)	1/4/1	0.1/0.384/0.1
Min/max/th		
Cost	0.1/0.4/0.1	0.7/2.5/0.7
Min/max/th		
Transmission power (P_{tx}) (W)	0.1	1.0
Mobile node velocity (m/s)	<3	<80

Table 2. Simulation Parameters

Parameters	Symbol	Value
WLAN radius	R	150 (m)
Path loss exponent	β	3.5
Path loss constant	Z	19 dBm
Environment factors	γ	2.8
Threshold travelled distance in WLAN	d_{th}	50 m
Travelling time to move into the WLAN	τ_i	2
Travelling time to move out from the WLAN	τ_o	2
Distance between the mobile node and WLAN access point when handoff occurs	d_{hys}	120

6. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

To evaluate the performance of a presented handoff necessity estimation algorithm, three metrics, i.e. predicted received signal strength (PRSS), probability of unnecessary handoff, and probability of handoff failure are considered. The simulated results are compared with an existing travelling distance algorithm proposed by Yan [6], and Hysteresis based method (TDE) by Liu [21].

6.1. Predicted Received Signal Strength (Prss)

The RSS is directly related to the distance between the mobile node and its point of attachment. RSS is used as a primary decision criterion in most of the existing handoff decision algorithms. PRSS of WLAN and UMTS network is shown in Figure 7 (a) and (b). The simulated results show that the predicted received signal strength is approximately equal to the actual received signal strength.

Predicted Received Signal Strength (PRSS) of mobile node moving out from UMTS and WLAN




Figure 7(a): PRSS of mn moving out from UMTS

Figure 7(b): PRSS of mn moving out from WLAN

6.2. Estimated Travelling Distance with Respect to Radius (Rd)

Figure 8 (a) and (b) shows the estimated travelling distance with respect to radius (R_d) for case 1 and 2 respectively.




Figure 8 (a): Estimated travelling distance with respect to radius (R_d)-(for case 1)




Figure 8 (b): Estimated travelling distance with respect to radius (R_d)-(for case 2)

6.3. Probability of Unnecessary Handoff

Figure 9 shows the probability of unnecessary handoff of existing travelling distance [6], Hysteresis based method (TDE) [21] and presented algorithm (PTD). Probability of unnecessary handoff are considerably reduced by a model proposed by Yan et al., but the probability of unnecessary handoff given by this model is very high for a slow velocity user. Presented algorithm (PTD) shows significant improvement in probability of handoff as compared to Hysteresis based method (TDE) because of improved travelling distance (R_d). Table 3 shows the percentage improvement gain in probability of unnecessary handoff of presented research work as compared to other two methods.




Figure 9: Probability of unnecessary handoffs vs. velocity

Table 3. Percentage Improvement Gain in Probability of Unnecessary Handoff

S. No	Velocity	RSS	Predicted traveling distance (d)	Estimated traveling distance with respect tp radius (Rd)	Outputs					% change
					Handoff requirement according to threshold distance (T _D =T _{Rd} =50)		Probability of unnecessary handoff			
					Yanet al.	Presented algo. (PTD)	Travelling distance algorithm (Yanet al.)	Hysteresis based algorithm (TDE)	Presented algorithm (PTD)	
1.	10	-97.1632	0	0.3708	No	No	0.04	0.023	0.007	53%
2.	20	-97.1876	29.4500	0.1884	No	No	0.04	0.047	0.015	51%
3.	30	-96.9785	58.9000	1.7460	Yes	No	0.04	0.070	0.023	50%
4.	40	-97.1896	117.8000	0.1732	Yes	No	0.04	0.094	0.032	49%
5.	50	-97.1144	88.3500	0.7353	Yes	No	0.04	0.1	0.042	40%
6.	60	-96.9706	147.2600	1.8049	Yes	No	0.04	0.1	0.05	33%
7.	70	-97.0194	176.7100	1.4430	Yes	No	0.04	0.1	0.06	25%
8.	80	-97.0915	206.1600	0.9062	Yes	No	0.04	0.1	0.07	17%
9.	90	-97.2014	235.6100	0.850	Yes	No	0.04	0.1	0.078	12%

6.4. Probability of Handoff Failure

A handoff failure occurs, when the RSS of the available network goes lower than the acceptable level, before the completion of the handoff process. Or we can say that the major cause of the handoff failure arises when the target system don't have the required assets so as to complete the handoff process. Figure 10 shows the probability of handoff failure of existing travelling distance algorithm proposed by Yan [6], Hysteresis based method (TDE) [21] and presented algorithm (PTD). Table 4 shows percentage improvement gain in probability of handoff failure of presented research work as compared to other two methods.




Figure 10: Handoff failure probability vs. velocity

Table 4. Percentage Improvement Gain in Probability of Handoff Failure

S.No	Velocity	RSS	Predicted traveling distance (d)	Estimated traveling distance with respect tp radius (Rd)	Outputs					% change
					Handoff requirement according to threshold distance (T _D =T _{Rd} =50)		Probability of unnecessary handoff			
					Yanet al.	Presented algo. (PTD)	Travelling distance algorithm (Yanet al.)	Hysteresis based algorithm (TDE)	Presented algorithm (PTD)	
1.	10	-97.203	0	0.0702	No	No	0.02	0.011	0.008	15%
2.	20	-92.467	5.89	31.6848	No	No	0.02	0.023	0.017	15%
3.	30	-88.983	11.7	60.0588	No	Yes	0.02	0.035	0.026	14%
4.	40	-78.858	23.5	90.0857	No	Yes	0.02	0.047	0.035	14%
5.	50	-64.325	17.6	121.0292	No	Yes	0.02	0.059	0.044	14%
6.	60	-78.753	29.4	90.3994	No	Yes	0.02	0.070	0.053	13%
7.	70	-86.611	35.3	61.7162	No	Yes	0.02	0.082	0.061	14%
8.	80	-92.556	41.2	31.1565	No	No	0.02	0.094	0.070	14%
9.	90	-	47.12	0.1685	No	No	0.02	0.1	0.079	11%

7. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, a novel approach for the estimation of the necessity of the handover in WLAN is discussed, which is based on predicted received signal strength and travelling distance. Handoff necessity estimation algorithm compares the predicted received signal strength (PRSS) and travelling distance with the threshold value to find the necessity of making a handoff. The pre-calculation of candidate network list and PRSS helps in to maintain the connection quality and reducing the unnecessary handoff. Results are obtained for different values of travelling distance. On the basis of the simulation results it can be observed that the proposed algorithm reduces the probability of unnecessary handover and handoff failure comparing with the conventional travelling distance algorithm proposed by Yan et al., in 2008, and Hysteresis based method proposed by Liu et al., (2008).

REFERENCES

- [1] Ling J, Hui Z, Long-Xiang Y, Hong-Bo Z. An Adaptive Vertical Handoff Algorithm Based on UMTS and WLAN. *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science*. 2014 May 1;12(5):3943-54.
- [2] Zhu K, Niyato D, Wang P, Hossain E, In Kim D. Mobility and handoff management in vehicular networks: a survey. *Wireless communications and mobile computing*. 2011 Apr 1;11(4):459-76.
- [3] Kassar, M., Kervella, B. and Pujolle, G., 2008. An overview of vertical handover decision strategies in heterogeneous wireless networks. *Computer Communications*, 31(10), pp.2607-2620.
- [4] Bhuvaneswari A, Raj EG. An overview of vertical handoff decision making algorithms. *International Journal of Computer Network and Information Security*. 2012 Aug 1;4(9):55.
- [5] Yan X, Mani N, Sekercioglu YA. A traveling distance prediction based method to minimize unnecessary handovers from cellular networks to WLANs. *IEEE communications letters*. 2008 Jan;12(1):14-6.
- [6] Isernia T, Massa A, Morabito AF, Rocca P. *On the optimal synthesis of phase-only reconfigurable antenna arrays*. In *Antennas and Propagation (EUCAP), Proceedings of the 5th European Conference on 2011 Apr 11* (pp. 2074-2077). IEEE.
- [7] Kunarak S, Suleesathira R. Algorithmic vertical handoff decision and merit network selection across heterogeneous wireless networks. *WSEAS Transactions on Communications*. 2013 Jan;12(1):1-3.
- [8] Bo S, Lin L, Feng D. The multi-attribute vertical handoff algorithm based on node mobility. In *Software Engineering and Service Science (ICSESS), 2014 5th IEEE International Conference on 2014 Jun 27* (pp. 1146-1149). IEEE.
- [9] Gao W, Zhang W. Conserving mobile terminal's energy using a novel network selection mechanism in integrated UMTS/WLANs. In *Computing and Communication (IEMCON), 2015 International Conference and Workshop on 2015 Oct 15* (pp. 1-5). IEEE.
- [10] Ostlin E, Zepernick HJ, Suzuki H. Macrocell path-loss prediction using artificial neural networks. *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*. 2010 Jul;59(6):2735-47.
- [11] Popescu I, Nikitopoulos D, Constantinou P, Nafornita I. Comparison of ANN Based Models for Path Loss Prediction in Indoor Environment. In *Vehicular Technology Conference, 2006. VTC-2006 Fall. 2006 IEEE 64th 2006 Sep 25* (pp. 1-5). IEEE.
- [12] Sapna S, Tamilarasi A, Kumar MP. Backpropagation learning algorithm based on Levenberg Marquardt Algorithm. *Comp Sci Inform Technol (CS and IT)*. 2012;2:393-8.
- [13] Stüber GL. Principles of mobile communication. Boston: Kluwer Academic; 2001 Feb 1.
- [14] Chang BJ, Chen JF. Cross-layer-based adaptive vertical handoff with predictive RSS in heterogeneous wireless networks. *IEEE Transactions on vehicular technology*. 2008 Nov;57(6):3679-92.
- [15] Majlesi A, Khalaj BH. An adaptive fuzzy logic based handoff algorithm for interworking between WLANs and mobile networks. In *Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications, 2002. The 13th IEEE International Symposium on 2002 Sep 15* (Vol. 5, pp. 2446-2451). IEEE.
- [16] Ho MJ, Rawles MS, Vrijkorte M, Fei L. RF challenges for 2.4 and 5 GHz WLAN deployment and design. In *Wireless Communications and Networking Conference, 2002. WCNC2002. 2002 IEEE 2002 Mar* (Vol. 2, pp. 783-788). IEEE.
- [17] Jeon S, Lee S. A relay-assisted handover technique with network coding over multihop cellular networks. *IEEE Communications Letters*. 2007 Mar;11(3).
- [18] Chang RS, Leu SJ. Handoff ordering using signal strength for multimedia communications in wireless networks. *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*. 2004 Sep;3(5):1526-32.
- [19] Papoulis A. *Random Variables and Stochastic Processes*. , 1st edition, New York:McGraw-Hill, 1985.
- [20] He D, Chi C, Chan S, Chen C, Bu J, Yin M. A simple and robust vertical handoff algorithm for heterogeneous wireless mobile networks. *Wireless Personal Communications*. 2011 Jul 1;59(2):361-73.
- [21] Liu M, Li Z, Guo X, Dutkiewicz E. Performance analysis and optimization of handoff algorithms in heterogeneous wireless networks. *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*. 2008 Jul;7(7):846-57.