The Development of a Decision Support System for Selecting Outstanding Teachers

Kholis Hermawan Informatics Department Faculty of Science and Technology State Islamic University Sunan Kalijaga Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Hendra Dea Arifin Informatics Department Faculty of Science and Technology State Islamic University Sunan Kalijaga Yogyakarta, Indonesia hendradea@usa.com Elvanisa Ayu Muhsina Informatics Department Faculty of Science and Technology State Islamic University Sunan Kalijaga Yogyakarta, Indonesia

Nurochman

Informatics Department Faculty of Science and Technology State Islamic University Sunan Kalijaga Yogyakarta, Indonesia nurochman@uin-suka.ac.id

Abstract—The Selection of Outstanding Teachers is an agenda of Department of Education Youth and Sports (Disdikpora) intended to encourage motivation, dedication, loyalty and professionalism of teachers, the expected positive impact on performance improvement. In general elections outstanding teachers have been running smoothly according to specified criteria. However, its implementation is still not optimal felt so necessary to improve, especially in the aspects of assessment. In the assessment of the current selection are inconsistent because one of the criteria assessments (portfolio) has different valuation ranges. Therefore, it is needed a decision support system that is able to provide consistency with the application of the normalization judgment and able to show the rank results of the selection. Decision support system methods used in this research are Simple Additive Weight (SAW) and the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP). Both methods support the assessment criteria and weighting. The criteria used for the assessment refer to the Outstanding Teacher Selection Handbook 2012 and based on the results of data analysis assessment Outstanding Teacher Selection of Junior High School and Vocational High School in 2012. The method for developing the system that is used in the SAW and AHP methods. This system is useful to provide a reference for the field of Technical Executives of Activities/ PPTK (Pejabat Pelaksana Teknis Kegiatan) Disdikpora particularly which are relevant in decision-making to determine the selection of outstanding teachers. Based on the results of testing the system's functionality, 96.7% stated functionality of the system running well, whereas the results of the testing interface, 38.96% for Strongly Agree, and 48.05% Agree.

Keywords-Simple Additive Weight; Analytic Hierarchy Process; Decision Support System; Youth and Sports Education Agency; Selection of Outstanding Teacher; Waterfall Method.

I. INTRODUCTION

Teachers are professional educators with the main task of educating, teaching, guiding, directing, training, and evaluating students in early childhood education, formal education, basic education, and secondary education [1]. Teachers not only have educative technical abilities, but also must have a reliable personality so that they become the role model for students, families and society. In line with the development policies that put the development of human resources (HR) as a national development priority, the position and role of teachers are increasingly strategic in preparing qualified human resources in the face of the global era [2].

The selection of outstanding teachers is intended, to encourage motivation, dedication, loyalty and professionalism of teachers, which are expected to have a positive effect on the performance and work performance of this global era, both academically and non-academically such as creativity in the field of work or achievements in sports, as well as new discoveries that can support the advancement of education [3]. Outstanding teachers are teachers as stated in Law No. 14 of 2005 concerning Article 8 about Teachers and Lecturers that "Teachers must have academic qualifications, competencies, educator certificates, physically, and mentally healthy, and have the ability to realize national education goals". Moreover, in Article 10 it is said that "Teacher competence as referred to in Article 8 includes pedagogical competence, personality competence, social competence, and professional competence obtained through professional education" [4].

This final project takes a case study at Sleman District Youth and Sports Education Office with the theme and research title "The Development of Decision Support System for The Selection of Outstanding Teachers" which applies decision-making methods, Simple Additive Weight (SAW) and Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP). This system will be built using the Java programming language and MySQL database.

II. THEORY

A. Simple Additive Weight (SAW)

The SAW method is often also known as weighted addition. The basic concept of the SAW method is to find a weighted sum from the performance rating on each alternative on all attributes. SAW method requires the process of normalizing the decision matrix (X) to a scale that can be compared with all available alternative ratings [5].

B. Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP)

Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) is a model that is flexible and can provide opportunities for individuals or groups to build ideas. AHP helps in defining problems by making their respective assumptions and obtaining the desired solution [6].

C. Multi Attribute Decision Making (MADM)

Multiple Attribute Decision Making (MADM) is a method of decision making to determine the best alternative from a number of alternatives based on certain criteria. MADM is used to solve problems in discrete space. Therefore, MADM is

III. METHODOLOGY

A. Study of Literature

The Ministry of Education and Culture, through the Directorate General of Secondary Education, gives serious attention to empowering teachers, especially outstanding teachers. This is in accordance with the mandate of the Law of the Republic of Indonesia Number 14 of 2005 concerning Teachers and Lecturers. The selection of outstanding teachers is the implementation of the mandate. Therefore, the literature study of this research is the Law of the Republic of Indonesia Number 14 of 2005 and the Selection Guidance of Good Teachers.

B. Data Collection

At this stage it aims to obtain data from the Office of Youth and Sports Education of Sleman Regency by consulting PPTK. The data used in this study were obtained by:

- Documentation study, namely data collection through archives of the results of the evaluation of outstanding teacher selection in 2012.
- Interview with The Department of Youth and Sports Education Office in Sleman Regency especially with staff from PPTK.

C. Data Analysis

At this stage the researcher studies and processes data to find an idea in the study. The researcher analyzed the comparison between the results of the assessment of the selection of outstanding teachers and the assessment format in the teacher's manual for achievement.

D. System Development

The development of this system uses Waterfall method of System Development Life Cycle (SDLC) method, which have the following process:

• System Planning

Identify the needs of system functionality, actors for systems, planning and system design.

• System Analysis

Stage for process modeling with the Unified Modeling Language (UML)

• System Design

The stage for designing the database and user interface.

• System implementation

The stage to build the system, in this case the system is built with Java and the MySQL database.

• System testing

System testing for evaluation and improvement.



This article is distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International</u> <u>License</u>. See for details: <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u> • System maintenance

To maintain the system and keep it stable.

IV. SYSTEM DESIGN

This Decision Support System for Selecting Outstanding Teachers is implemented in a desktop based system. The system is built by Java programming language, and uses MySQL database.

1) Login Form

Figure 1 shows a form which is used to enter the system.



Figure 1. Login Form

2) Home Page

Figure 2 is the main page of the system.



Figure 2. Home Page

3) Teachers Data Form

Figure 3 is a form that can be used to process teacher's data.

ata Guru —			Pengaturan	Pencarian			
NUPTK	002		+ Ter	bah Kriteria :	NJPTK	*	
Nama	5ri		Ub	sah Kata kunci :	[
Sekolah	Godean 2		00	tel late	0 Cert		
	1				- Can		
				(pan			
			X Ha	pus			
oftar Data	Guru						
	NUPTK		Nama		Sekolah		
001	NJPTK	Hank	Nama	Tempel	Sekolah	h	
001	NJPTK	Hanik St	Nama	Tempel Godewn 2	Sekolah		
001 002 003	NUPTK	Hanik Sti Asl	Nana	Tempel Godern 2 Deprik 2	Sekolah 🖉 Ubah	1	
001 002 003 004	NJPTK	Hanik 21 Ani Sita	Nama	Tempel Godean 2 Depok 2 Depok 1	Sekolah 2 Ubah		
001 002 003 004 005	NJPTK	Hanik Sri Aal Sita Mawardi	Nama	Tempel Godesn 2 Depok 2 Depok 1 Kalasan	Sekolah Ž Ubah X Hapus		
001 022 003 004 005 006	NJPTK	Hanik Sri Aol Sita Mawardi Barmaai	Nama	Tempel Godeen 2 Depok 1 Kalasan Godean 1	Sekolah 🛃 Ubah 🙀 Hapus		
001 222 003 004 005 006 007	NLPTK	Hanik Sti Ael Sta Manard Barnani Eni	Nama	Tempel Golean 2 Depok 2 Depok 1 Kalasan Godean 1 Congkring	Sekolah 🗶 Ubah 💥 Hapus		
001 222 003 004 005 006 007 008	HLPTK	Honik Ci Aol Sta Manurd Barnani Eni Krisna	Narra	Tempel Godesen 2 Depok 2 Depok 1 Kalesan Godean 1 Congring Bantul	Sekolah 🗶 Ubah 💥 Hapus		
001 222 003 004 005 006 007 008 009	HLPTK	Hanik 91 Ani Sita Hannard Barnani Eni Krisna Krisna	Nama	Tempel Golean 2 Depok 2 Depok 1 Kalasan Golean 1 Cangkring Bentul Minan	Sekolah 2 Ubah 28 Hapus		
001 003 004 005 006 007 008 009 010	NJPK	Honk 21 Aal Sita Barnaal Bri Crisna Vicels Gugun	Nama	Tempel Coldens / 2 Depok 2 Depok 1 Kalasan Godean 1 Conghing Bentul Misan Jogge	Seksleh ⊉ Ubah ¥ Hapus		
001 003 004 005 006 007 008 009 010 010	N.PTK	Ank Sh And Sha Maward Birmani Sh None None Notes Gugun Lope	Nana	Tempel Content 2 Deptik 2 Deptik 1 Kalesan Gadan 1 Canghring Bentul Mican Joga Bengung Bengung	Sekoleh 🗶 Ubah 🙀 Hapus		
001 003 004 005 006 007 008 009 010 011 012	NJPTK	Arak Sri Aral Barmani Barmani Bri Kriona Kriona Kriona Kriona Kriona Kogun Lope Qui	Nava	Tempel Coldens 2 Depok 2 Depok 1 Kalasan Godean 1 Cangiring Bentul Mican Jogge Bonegung Qale	Sekolah 2 Ubah 2 Hapus		
001 003 004 005 006 007 008 009 010 011 012 013	NJPTK	Arak San San Naward Branasi Br None Nolek Gugan Lope Qet Mana	Nana	Tempol Genera 2 Depok 2 Depok 1 Depok 1 Depok 1 Depok 2 Demokra Bertul Miccan Doge Bersgung Quie Disana	Sekolah 🗶 Ubah 🙀 Hapus		
001 002 003 004 005 006 007 008 009 010 011 012 013 014	NUPTK	Honk Kill Sila Sila Banaai Bri Kona Yolod Gogon Loga Solo Mana Siloo Siloo	Narre	Tempol Goden 2 Depok 2 Depok 1 Kalasan Godan 1 Congiring Jentul Mican Joga Borngung Qila Dinana Shirata	Seiolah Ž Ubah X Hapus		
001 022 003 004 005 006 007 008 009 010 011 012 013 014 015	NETK	Honk Fri And Sta Manardi Burnand Diorean Diorean Hole Gugun Lope Quy Silva Silva Silva Bilve	Pane	Tempol Colorent 2 Depok 2 Depok 2 Notasan Matasan Mintu Mintu Mintu Digap Dinana Saturana Saturana Saturana	Sekolah 🗶 Ubah 🙀 Hapus		
001 202 003 004 005 006 007 008 009 010 011 012 013 014 015 016	NUPTK	Honk Hank Site Sta Manaad Brin Motols Koton Gogon Lope Cig Cig Sito Sito Sito Sito Sito Sito Sito Sito	Nana	Tempol Goden 2 Depok 2 Depok 1 Kalasan Godan 1 Congling Johnson Joga Dinana Shiraria Shiraria Binerea Shiraria Binerea Binerea Binerea	Sekolah 🗶 Ubah 🐹 Hapus	S	

Figure 3. Teachers Data Form

4) Period Form

The form in Figure 4 serves to process the period for a selection. Performed before processing criteria and participant data.

Particle Distrigation Statement International Statement Distrigation Statement	ud Periode 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1	Periode Dury MC Tendel 2012 Dury Tinglet DP Relapadette Sternen 2013 Tinglet DP Relapadette Sternen Tinglet DP Relapadette Sternen Tinglet Dury Stern
hends (1111) dage the dageter Steam	20 9 7 6 5 5 4 5 2 1	pur vria trebal, 2012 2012 Triglat GM-Kabpeter Servan 2012 Triglat GM-Kabpeter Servan 2013 Annuel Annuel GM-Kabpeter Servan 2014 Annuel Annuel GM-Kabpeter Servan Per Losia (2010) Fer Losia (2010) Fer Losia (2010)
L Conception 2017 Page 197 August Internet Referent Gun Reported Tright 199 and Column For Exemption Page 100 and 1	9 8 6 5 4 3 2 2	012 inges Ser Robusten Serien 2012 inges Ser Robusten Serien 2012 inges Series 2014 Series 2
1900 septi Perifin Gur Strand Strand Perifin Gur Strand Strand Perifin Gur Strand Strand Perific Gur	8 2 6 5 4 3 2 1	D12 Inglist tow kaudupaten servan Test Result of the servan Test Result of the servan Test Data 200 2 Test Data 50 Test Data 50
Not dig with the first set of the set of th	6 5 4 3 2 1	Test Data 200 2 Test Data 500 Fest Data 50 F
	5 4 3 2	Test Data 200 2 Test Data 1000 Test Data 50
t harbin 200 3 harbin 20 1 prio 9 1 prio 9	4 3 2 1	Test Data 1000 Test Data 50
0 Instain 8	3 2	Test Data 50
2 betin 3 PIJ/RD PIJ/RD PIJ/RD PIJ/RD	2	No. 1 Date of
nguluer trades	1	I 485 Dete 23
wyskan ∳Tandan2taba11aba		2012 / 2013

Figure 4. Period Form

5) Criteria Form

Criteria form is used to process the data of criteria in each selected period. It is shown in Figure 5.

Periode Pe	sthan	Perk	de Pemilihan Guru	ı Berprestasi : -	
Periode	product registrate services and	- Deft	er Kriteria Penilaian		
Data Kriter	a		Id Kriteria	Nama Kriteria	Babat (%)
Politaria.	Burntein .	29		Presentasi	40.0
	Por man			Portpfole	20.0
Bobot	30.0 % (Petunjuk Pengisian)				
	Kia	C	Deres.		
Sisa	30.0 %	Peturpuk Pen	jisiah	(Section).	
			Petunjuk Pengisian Bo	bot Kriteria	
		1. Bobot Du 2. Bobot To	lam skala % (persen) tal dari semua bobot k	ritera adalah 100%	
engetura	n	3. Ilustrasi P	embobotan:		
-	and the second second	- Presentai	= 40%		
	The sea	- Portofoio 4. Pada spat	= 30% Anda memasukka nibi	kriteria, maka naria Reid	
		Bobot Tersi	ia akan menampikan in	formasi nilai Bobot yang	
His	impan X House	- Bobot Te	isisa = Bobot Tersisa S	ebelum - Nilai Bobot	

Figure 5. Criteria Form

6) Participant Form

Admin must first select the period before processing participants' data. This process uses form on Figure 6.



This article is distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International</u> <u>License</u>. See for details: <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>

NATIC NA	Periode	7.2012 Tingkat SMA Kabupaten Sieman 🖤	Daftar Peserta	
Markania Distance Markania Verticity Distance Markania Markania Pilot State Markania Pilot State Markania Distance Markania Markania Pilot State Markania Particita Distance Markania Pilot State Markania Distance Markania Markania Pilot State Markania Particita Distance Markania Partitation Distance Markania			NUPTK	Nama Lengkap
DETRE DE LES DE	ta Peserta		301	Dra, Yulia Cabur Hapsari
da parte da Cara Marca Maria ene landa par. Na Catar Repair da Na Catar Repair da Catar parte, Na Seguitario Propilario terretari terretario terretario terretario t	A RTV	101	202	Tri Iswyad, SPd
ama Langkap (Pin Tuba Catar Happan) data hatah + Tanahn Billingun Xing Katar (International Statements of Stat	or in.	1004	203	Ars wearyand, and
Maka Disearah Mi Sangar Ada Disearah Mi Sangar Digatah + Tastah Disearah Kilon Kingka Alamata Alamata - Tastah Disearah Kilon - Tastah Diseara			104	Trick Krisnawab, PPro
adada (PAA 11 Seregen Propilizan + Tanaka (B) Serem (Y) Bala Serem (Y) Bala	lama Lenokap	Dra, Yuka Catur Hapsari	200	pri winaro, seo
Image: Second			200	Der Manier, Phil
20 [Drish Sweetynes, UHV]	skolab	KMA N 1 Sevene	100	Marcalina V of Mid-astronth
Propinson + Tendan Brown Xingua Yilana		brok is to stability	200	Forces of the second se
	+ Tan	ebah 📑 Simpan 💥 Hapus 🔊 Batal	J	
	+ Tan	ean Bryon Xingaa Yi Baal		
	+ Tan	en Buryon Xiro a Nika		

Figure 6. Participant Form

7) Assessment Form

This form in Figure 7 is used to enter participants scores based on each criterion.

iode Pemihi	ian		Periode F	emilihan Gu	iru Berprestasi : -	
			- Data Perdain	Individu		
Periode	7.2012 Tingkat SMA	Kabupaten Sleman	-			
			-Biodata pr	eserta		
			NUPTK	102		
a la rese a		1				
	NUPTK	Nalia Lengkap	Nana	Tri Isniveti, S	Pd	
101		ora, ruia catur Hapsan				
02		Tri Ismiyati, SPd	- Contraction			
203		Aris Widar yang, SAd	Personan	ser Krisena		
		Title Ar Snahou, PPG		5d Kriteria	Nama Kriteria	Bobot
100		Schervente MDd	21		Tertuks	253
07		Dui Hartini, SM	22		Wawancara	325
108		Marcelina Yuni Widvaningsh			ensiong a rok	136.5
109		Ervice Presetuene, Mihri			Presentad	189
			Kriteri	, Is	Niei	√
			L	lbah	🔊 Satal 👌 Simpan	2 Hapus

Figure 7. Assessment Form

8) Result Form

This form in Figure 8 implements the SAW and AHP methods for ranking. If the SAW ranking result table is different with the AHP ranking table, the system will give a different font color; red for SAW ranking, and blue for AHP ranking result.



Figure 8. Result Form

9) Detail Graph Form





Figure 9 shows a detailed graph form.

10) Report For	rm
🛓 Form Laporar	
Pilih Periode Per	nilihan
Pilih Periode :	7.2012 Tingkat SMA Kabupaten Sleman
Keterangan :	Pemilihan Guru Berprestasi Tingkat SMA se-Kabupaten Sleman
Metode :	Simple Additive Weight (SAW)
	Cetak

Figure 10. Report Form

Figure 10 shows a report form.



This article is distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International</u> <u>License</u>. See for details: <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>

	_	APLI	LAPORAN (ASI PEM	N HASIL PERHITUNGAN ILIHAN GURU BERPRESTAS	1		
Periori	te : 2012 Tinoki	n Save			_		L
Metod	le Perangkingan :	Save in:	I My Docum	nents	• 🗊 🕫 🛄 •		L
Rank	NUE	49	Adobe	o Converter			L
1	102	Recent Items	ASUS				L
2	101	-	Edraw M	ax			L
3	103	Desktop	KONAM	ler		1	L
4	104		My Palet	tes			L
5	106		My Shap	es sProjects			
6	105	-	🔒 Visual Str	udio 2008			
7	107	- 1					
8	108	Computer					
9	109	(L)	File name:	Laporan Pemilihan Guru Berprestasi 2012		Save	
		Network	Files of type:	JasperReports (*.jrprint)	*	Cancel	
				Inspects ("stprint) PDF (",pdf) RTF (",rtf) ODT (",odt) DOCX (",odcx) HTML (",htm, ",htm) Single sheet XLS (",xds) Midfiel disester XLS (",xds))	

Figure 11. Report Form 2

This form in Figure 11 processes activities after the previous process in Figure 10.

11) Change Password Form

🛓 Form Ganti Password	×
2	Ganti Password
Username	
Password Lama	
Password Baru	
Verifikasi Password Baru	
	💾 Simpan 🄊 Batal

Figure 12. Change Password Form

If a user wants to change its password, the for in Figure 12 can be used.

12) Backup-Restore Form

🔔 BackUp-Re	store	
-Masukkan Use	er dan Password databa	se
User		
Password		
	👆 Back Up	Restore



Figure 13 shows the form for backing up the system.

V. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

A. Test Result

We have conducted a test for this system which involved 11 respondents consisting of staffs from the Sleman District Youth and Sports Education Office, whose education levels come from various levels. Tests are carried out on system functionality and system interfaces. The test results on system functionality can be seen in Table I.

TABLE I.	SYSTEM FUNCTIONAL TEST RESULTS

No	Statements	Y	Ν
1	If the username and password are incorrect or	11	0
	the username is not registered, then it cannot		
2	The system is able to provide convenience in managing and ranking data for the admin.	11	0
3	The system can display teacher's data information	9	2
4	The system can display selection of period data information	11	0
5	The system can display information on the assessment criteria's data	11	0
6	The system can display information on participant's data	9	2
7	The system can display assessment data information	22	0
8	The system can display information on ranking data	11	0
9	The system can display a comparison chart	11	0
10	Users can change passwords via the password setting's form	11	0
11	The user after logging out, can no longer operate the system	11	0
Total		128	4
Percent	tage	96.97	3.03



This article is distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International</u> License. See for details: <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>

B. Discussion

Based on the results of the implementation of the SAW and AHP methods on the system, ranking has been produced without any inconsistency in assessment. This is evidenced by the comparison of the results of the assessment of each criterion that is not significant when compared with before using a system that applies the SAW and AHP methods. For example, the results of the assessment of Dra. Hewi Murdaningsih. M.Pd.Si before and after system usage as in Table 2.

C	Criteria		Percentage	Portfolio
PBG 2012	PBG Value		33.2	981.9
result	(%) Total	2%	3.21%	94.80%
SAW	After processing	0.271	0.398	0.3
DILV	(%) Total	27.97%	41.07%	30.96%
АНР	After processing	0.03	0.041	0.061
	(%) Total	22.73%	31.06%	46.21%

TABLE II.COMPARISON RESULTS BEFORE AND AFTER

Based on the results of testing the system functionality and system interfaces, it was concluded that most respondents or testers stated the functionality of the system is good. Data from the system functionality test results show that 96.97% states that the functionality of the system is functioning properly (Y) and 3.03% states that the functionality of the system is not functioning properly (N). The percentage of testers who state system functionality does not function properly due to the lack of teacher data information expected by the examiner. Therefore, it is necessary to add teacher data information displayed in this system.

The test results based on the system interface concluded that most testers agreed with the system interface that had been made. Data from system interface testing results show that 38.96% Strongly Agree (SS), 48.05% Agree (S), 12.99% Disagree (KS), and 0% Disagree (TS).

Based on the explanation above, it can be concluded that the decision support system for the selection of good/ high achieving teachers is feasible, but there is still a need for further system development in order to obtain more optimal results.

VI. CONCLUSION

Based on the research that has been done by researchers during data collection, design to the implementation of the Achievement Teacher Selection Decision Support System, then some conclusions can be drawn as follows:

- This research has succeeded in developing an Achieved Teacher Election Decision Support System that can facilitate the assessment process of achieving teacher selection, as indicated by the percentage of system functionality testing of 96.97% answering "yes" and 3.03% answering "no". The system interface test results show that 38.96% for Strongly Agree, 48.05% Agree, 12.99% Less Agree, and 0% Disagree.
- This research has succeeded in developing the Achievement Teacher Decision Support System that applies the SAW and AHP methods to rank teachers with achievement.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Respect and profound gratitude to Father, Mother and all members of the extended family of author, who have provided encouragement, support and prayer, and also Mr. Nurochman.

References

- I. Government, "Lembaran Negara Republik Indonesia in 2003 No. 78 About The National Education System." State Secretariat, Jakarta, 2003.
- [2] Yuzelma, Cik Gu: A to Z, 1st ed. Yogyakarta: Deepublisher, 2018.
- [3] B. K. William and S. C. Sawyer, Using Information Technology: A Practical Introduction to Computers and Communications. Boston: Mc Graw Hill, 2001.
- [4] I. Government, Law of The Republic Of Indonesia Number 14 of 2005 About Teachers and Lecturers. Jakarta: State Secretariat, 2005.
- [5] S. Kusumadewi, Fuzzy Multi Attribute Decision Making (Fuzzy MADM). Yogyakarta: Graha Ilmu, 2006.
- [6] T. L. Saaty, Pengambilan Keputusan Bagi Para Pemimpin, Proses Hirarki Analitik untuk Pengambilan Keputusan dalam Situasi yang Kompleks. Jakarta: Pustaka Binaman Pressindo, 1993.

AUTHORS PROFILE

- Kholis Hermawan is an alumni of Informatics Department, Faculty of Science and Technology, State Islamic University Sunan Kalijaga Yogyakarta, since 2015.
- Hendra Dea Arifin is a student of Informatics Department, Faculty of Science and Technology, State Islamic University Sunan Kalijaga Yogyakarta, since 2016. Based in Bantul, Yogyakarta.
- Nurochman, a lecturer at Informatics Department, Faculty of Science and Technology, State Islamic University Sunan Kalijaga Yogyakarta.



This article is distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International</u> License. See for details: <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>